

Louisiana's Pontis Implementation

Jason Chapman Louisiana DOTD

LTRC Seminar Series: Bridge Structures February 21, 2008



Summary:

- Introduction
- Pontis Overview
- Pontis Implementation Status
- Analysis and Results
- Conclusions





Louisiana's Bridge Network

- 13,175 Total Bridges
- 5,261 Bridges Off the State System
- 7,914 Bridges On the State System
 - 112 are Movable Bridges
 - 676 are Structurally Deficient
 - 1,490 are Functionally Obsolete





Where were bridges built?

Number of Bridges by District

District 02 New Orleans 03 Lafayette 04 Shreveport 05 Monroe 07 Lake Charles 08 Alexandria 61 Baton Rouge 62 Hammond





When were bridges built?

Percentage of Deck Area Built by Decade





Pontis Overview

 Bridge Management System Software Element Based Inspection Element Conditions

Notes:



Condition State



Condition State 3



Condition State



December 2003





LADOTD Pocket Manual



Condition State

	8						
Column-Painted							
Element Number	Description						
107	Girders-Steel-I-Beam/Plate (Painted) (LF) This element defines only those steel open girder/beam units that are painted. This element includes two girder systems as well as rolled beams or multiple beam spans.						
113	Stringers-Steel-Painted (LF) This element defines all painted steel stringers, which support the deck in a stringer-floor beam system.						
121	Steel Trusses-Lower Chord (Painted) (LF) This element defines the lower/bottom chord of steel trusses that are painted in through trusses and pony trusses.						
126	Steel Trusses-Through Pony-Painted (Excluding Lower Chord) (LF) This element defines all truss elements except the lower/bottom chord of steel trusses that are painted in through trusses and pony trusses.						
131	Steel Trusses-Deck Truss-Painted (LF) This element defines all members of painted steel deck trusses.						
		Der					

107

Steel Girder/Stringer/Chord/Truss/Floor Beams/Pin and Hanger/Pile Extension/





Pontis Overview

Recommended Maintenance Actions

Costs for Maintenance

• Element Deterioration

sktop - Preservat	ion Models and Optimization								
Preservation	Element: Girder-Stl-I Bm-Pnt (107)	▼ Env. Lo	w	-		C Met	ric 💽 Engl	lish	
	Element: Girder-Stl-I Bm-Pnt (107)	Env: 2	Tr	ansition F	Probabiliti	ies to Sta	te	Unit C	ost (\$)
	Action (>> = recommended)	-	1	2	3	4	5	Direct	Long-Term
	State: 1 - No corrosion					Op	timal Per	cent in Sta	te: 20.37
Elicit Cost	>> 0 Do Nothing		93.30	6.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.20
	State: 2 - Paint distress					Op	timal Per	cent in Sta	te: 24.44
Elicit Deter.	>> 0 Do Nothing		0.00	93.30	6.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.59
the states of	1 Surface clean, spot paint		98.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.49	15.59
Opdate	State: 3 - Rust formation					Op	timal Per	cent in Sta	te: 53.37
R <u>e</u> store	>> 0 Do Nothing		0.00	0.00	96.59	3.41	0.00	0.00	9.75
	1 Hand tool, clean, and paint		90.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	17.99	21.47
<u>P</u> rint	State: 4 - Active corrosion					Op	timal Per	cent in Sta	te: 1.82
Adjust Costs	0 Do Nothing		0.00	0.00	0.00	93.30	6.70	0.00	116.97
	>>1 Hand tool, clean, and paint		75.00	15.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	19.99	24.00
Optimize	2 Replace paint System		80.00	10.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	164.02	167.92
HI Target	State: 5 - Section loss					Op	timal Per	cent in Sta	te: 0.00
	0 Do Nothing		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	93.30	0.00	2,339.44
Panorte	>>1 Rehab member		80.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	1,494.00	1,498.58
<u>Reports</u>	2 Replace member		100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,579.00	1,582.05
	Units: (LF)					I	Agency Fa	ilure Cost: ilure Cost	15,790.00
							User Fa	nure cost.	0.00



BMS Project Overview

- Define Louisiana Specific Elements
- Collect Element Inventory
- Develop Element Based Inspection Procedures
- Cost Models
- Deterioration Models
- Initial Inspections for Model Calibration
- Final Implementation



State Crews Collect Inventory

1-10/CA	LCA.	ire a	1214	24				STEEL	GIR	DER	SPA	N (D	ECK)				/	PAGE	6	OF
STR. NO.45	- 91 -	2.76	7-1	DIS	T. Ø	7	PARISH(2.3)		INSPE	CTED	BY:	q.								DATE	s 1-19-57
ELEN	6			GUARD	RAIL							JOI	NTS				R/	UL.			
SPAN	APPR. SLAB	RELIEF JOINT	G.R. APPR. RT.	G.R. APPR. L.T.	G.R. END RT.	G.R. END LT.	DECK CONC. OUT - OUT	RIDE SURFACE	STRIP SEAL	POUR	COMP.	CUSH.	MODULAR	OPEN	SLIDE PL.	FINGER	CONC.	MISC.	DECK DRAIN	SIDE WALKS	COMMENTS
	321	901	902	903	904	905	012-2	60S	300	301	302	303.	1 303.	2304,1	304.2	304.3	331	333	610	620	(J)
SPAN 1							2297	1957						5z				75	2	75	~ ~ /
2							k	1						52				N	1	75	, ² /
3							V	Y						52						75	4
4		2					2,2.97	1957						1				75		75	5/
5							2412	2054										79		79	0
6							N	1										N		N	2
7														1							~
3							1	Y										X		V	
9							2412	2.054										79	1	79	
10							2900	2,470						11			_	95	2	95	
11							4579	3900						1				150	-	150	
12							2900	2470						52		-	_	95	2	95	
13							1	4								52		A	N	1	
/4														52							
15							4	X						N			_	V	1	1	
16							2900	2470										95	2	95	
17					_		2442	2080										80	4	80	
18							3663	3120										12.0	6	12.0	
19							3663	3120						V				120	6	/2.0	
20							3443	3/20						52	1			120	6	120	
21							2,442	2080								52		80	4	30	

DOTD BUILDS THE WAY

Phase I (Setup and Inventory)

Identify Louisiana Specific Elements

- Identify Conditions and Actions for Each Element
- Collect Remaining Inventory – 3,670 Bridges (52,314 Spans)









Phase I (Setup and Inventory)

Pontis Data Entry

Inventory Changed to Modified Structure
 Units

Data Imported to Pontis db





Figure 1.3-1: Bridge Components.

Subsection 1.3.1 Deck

SONTH

A deck is the bridge component that directly receives the vehicular wheel loads in the case of a roadway, or foot traffic loads in the case of a pedestrian bridge. A deck's purpose on all bridges is to provide a smooth driving or walking surface. On most bridges, it functions to transfer the live loads, superimposed dead loads (overlays, sidewalks, parapets, etc.), and its own weight laterally to the beams/girders/stringers/floorbeams. Sometimes, the deck acts compositely to become part of the beam's top compression flange. On concrete slab bridges, the deck itself is the main load-carrying member, delivering all live and dead loads directly to the substructure units.

Subsection 1.3.2 Superstructure

The superstructure supports the deck and all of the live and dead loads applied to it, delivering these loads to the substructure units. There are three main types of bridge superstructures: beam bridges

95% Draft: December 2003

Page 2-1-12

tis Handheld Data

Concrete Deck/Slab-Bare, w/AC Overlay



Phase II (Training)

 Test Training Procedures - Palm Inspection Procedures - Louisiana Specific Inspection Parameters - Perform Field Inspections • Train District Inspectors – DOTD Inspection Training with 2 Districts Test Implementation Procedures (Phase III Work) Solicit Feedback – Final Training for Remaining 7 Districts



Phase III (Inspection and Modeling)

- Collect Inspection Data
 - 400 Bridges Representing Common Structure Types
 - Further Testing for PHDC and Inspection Manuals
 - Provide Feedback on Procedures





Phase III (Inspection and Modeling)

- Elicit Pontis Model Information
 - Element Action Costs
 - Element Deterioration
 Probability Rates
 - Improvement Costs for Structures
 - Replacement Costs
 - Widening Costs
 - Agency Policy Settings (Legal and Design Standards)
 - Vertical Clearances
 - Lane Widths
 - Setup Agency Rehab and Scoping Rules
- Enter Modeling Data Into Pontis

Girder - Steel - I - Beam/Plate - Painted (LF) PONTIS Element 107

Standard Dimensions:

4' deep, 16" wide flanges

Surface Clean; Spot Paint Top Coat (CS2):

Assumptions:

Work performed by DOTD Maintenance Personnel 4' deep x 3' long section of beam; 12 SF

Item	Quantity	Unit	Item Number	Item Cost	Unit	Cost/LF			
Material (MS 285)	12.00	SF		\$0.83	LF	\$3.32			
Labor	1.00	MH		\$20.00	LF	\$6.67			
Equipment:									
Tools	1.00	LS		\$5.00	LF	\$1.67			
Pickup	0.50	HR		\$5.00	LF	\$0.83			
Total Cost	otal Cost \$12.49								

Hand Tool; Clean; Paint (CS3, CS4):

Assumptions:

Work performed by DOTD Maintenance Personnel 4' deep x 3' long section of beam; 12 SF

Item	Quantity	Unit	Item Number	Item Cost	Unit	Cost/LF		
Material (MS 285)	12.00	SF		\$0.83	LF	\$3.32		
Labor	2.00	MH		\$20.00	LF	\$13.33		
Equipment:								
Tools	1.00	LS		\$5.00	LF	\$1.67		
Pickup	1.00	HR		\$5.00	LF	\$1.67		
Total Cost	otal Cost							

Condition State	Multiplier	Repair Cost Per LF
CS 3	0.90	\$17.99
CS 4	1.00	\$19.99



- Inspection Reports
- Forms for DOTD Data and Approval Procedures
- NBI Inspection Data and other DOTD specific information
- Streambed Profile
- Timber Rating Form





Bridge Inspection Report

- NBI and Element Data
- Bridge and Inspection Notes
- Inventory and Deficiency Photos
- Scanned Images
- Streambed Profile

STATE OF LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND DEVELOPMENT

BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

BENT INSPECTION DATE: 2/13/2008

WATERWAY MEASUREMENT

Top of	Rail	to Water Line
At Bent No.	4	Distance from Begin Bridge 60 ft
Left 0 ft		Right 10.5 ft

GROUNDLINE MEASUREMENTS

	Top of Rail	to Ground Line / Mud Line					
Bent No.	Distance From Begin Bridge	Left Side	Right Side	Pile Depth			
1	.00	.00	5.60	-1			
2	20.00	.00	9.60	-1			
3	40.00	.00	12.00	-1			
4	60.00	.00	13.70	-1			
5	80.00	.00	12.00	-1			
6	100.00	.00	10.40	-1			
7	120.00	.00	5.00	-1			

Bridge Inspection Report Structure No. 03200570602591

Mon 2/18/2008 12:16:48 Page 9 of 9



 Security Parameters for Inspection Approval
 Test Data Transfer Procedures Between District Workstations and Pontis Server

P <mark>ontis 4.4.3 - You are curr</mark> File <u>V</u> iew <u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> indow	ently logged in as PON <u>H</u> elp	TIS - [Bridge Inspect	ion Mode: Edit Type: In De	pth Key: CGMO]	
dge: P2830195915991	✓ Find. <u>3</u> WORK 4 APPR/	Inspections (2) AISAL 5 INVENTORY	10/17/2007 C Metri 6 LADOTD Z SCHEDULE	c • English Report	s Sa
ontext: INSP oot Directory: Wh510	ECTION •				
Name	Туре	Agency Type	Report Flag Sort Orde	r Location	
001 Dir of Inventory	JPEG Format	Inspection Photo		[MULTISERVER]Inspectio	on Docum
002 Opp Dir of Inventory	JPEG Format	Inspection Photo		[MULTISERVER]Inspectio	on Docum
003 Upstream	JPEG Format	Inspection Photo		[MULTISERVER]Inspectio	on Docum
004 Downstream	JPEG Format	Inspection Photo		[MULTISERVER]Inspectio	on Docum
005 Profile	JPEG Format	Inspection Photo		[MULTISERVER]Inspectio	on Docum
	File: 001 Dir of In	ventou IPG			▶ Help
	Location: \\h51001ms	ventory.JPG 001 \Inspection Documer	nts\District_03\Lafayette_28\0	ff System\200008\Inv	Unlink
	Created: 10/17/2007	10:25:01 Size:	1.2 MB		Lints
	Accessed: 10/28/2007	16:20:28 Status:	AVAILABLE		LINK
	Modified: 10/17/2007	06:32:54			Open



Dual Inspection – Pontis and NBI
Waterway Measurements Screen

Timber Rating Form Screen





Streambed Profile
Tabular Format
Generate Graph
Generate Report





STATE OF LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND DEVELOPMENT

BENT MEASUREMENT REPORT

DISTRICT	PARISH		ROUTE		STRUCTUR	ENUMBER	RECALL NUMBER
Lafayette	Evangeline		LA0104		0320200020000		034080
CROSSING DESCRIPTION	LENGTH	BRI	DGE TYPE	YE/	AR BUILT	ADT	POSTED LOAD
BAYOU NEZPIQUE	460.00 ft	C	OSLAB		1971	873	-

Bent Inspection Date: 9/13/2007

	WATERWAY MEA	SUREMENT	
	Top of Rail	to Water Line	
At Bent I	No. 13 , Distance f	rom Begin Bridge	
Left 3:	2.3 ft	Right 0 ft	

	Top of Rail	to Ground L		
Bent No.	Distance From Begin Bridge	Left Side	Right Side	Pile Depth
0	.00	5.50	.00	
1	20.00	9.80	.00	
2	40.00	10.60	.00	
3	60.00	10.60	.00	
4	80.00	11.00	.00	
5	100.00	13.00	.00	
6	120.00	13.80	.00	
7	140.00	13.80	.00	
8	160.00	15.20	.00	
9	180.00	15.80	.00	
10	200.00	19.00	.00	
11	220.00	20.60	.00	
12	240.00	27.20	.00	
13	260.00	32.30	.00	
14	280.00	32.60	.00	
15	300.00	25.80	.00	



 Timber Rating Forms
 Bridge Maintenance Performs Load Rai Calculations for Timber Bridges

LADOTD Timber Applet

LOUISIANA DOTD STRUCTURAL RATING OF TIMBER STRINGER SPANS FIELD DATA AND MEASUREMENT FORM ON SYSTEM BRIDGE

RECALL	SP	STRUCTU	DE	1	DATE	25	-	1		TYPE	-		-1		BRI	DGE	HAS				3	STR	UCTU	RE NUI	MBE	R	
NUMBER	AN	TYPE	NE.	1	NSPECT	TION			FL	OOR	ING				T(RUE) OR	F(AL	SE)	ſ	DIST.	PAR.		SECT	ROL ION	LC	LOG MILE	
0 0 8 1 1 0	1	TTTR	ES	0 2	/ 1 5	1	0 8	ST	RI	P					F					0 3	4 9	3	8 0	0 3	0	2 5	9 1
		DECK THICKNESS (IN. & HDTHS.)	DECK P WID (IN. & HE	LANK TH DTHS.)	WEAM COU (IN. & H	RING RSE DTHS	5.) (F	ROADV WID1 T. & HE	VAY TH DTHS.)	(FT	SPA LENC	AN GTH DTHS.)	(CA DEI IN. & F	AP PTH IDTHS.)	(IN	CA WIE . & H	P TH DTHS.) (F	PI LEN T. & H	LE IGTH IDTHS	.) (PILE C FER IN. & I	IRCUN ENCE HDTHS	1- i.) (PILE S THICH IN. & H	SHELL (NESS IDTHS.)
		0 3 . 7 5	11.	0 0	02	0	0 2	5.	0 0	1	9.	00	1	1	. 50	0	9.	5 0) 2	8	. 0	0 3	3 2	. 0	0	П	
		STRINGER DEPTH (IN. & HDTHS.)	STRIN THICK (IN. & H	IGER NESS DTHS.)	DIST CEN (IN. 8	TO N T - CE HDT	EXT ENT HS.)			S (FT.	PILE PACI & HE	ING DTHS.)															
	1	1 3 . 5 0	0 5	. 7 5	2 1	. !	5 0		1	0	3.	5 0]														
	2	1 3 . 5 0	0 6	00	1 7	. (0 0		2	0 6	6.	0 0]														
	3	1 3 . 2 5	0 6	00	1 8	. (0 0		3	0 6	6 .	0 0]														
	4	1 3 . 5 0	0 6	00	1 8	. (0 0		4	0 6	6.	5 0															
	5	1 3 . 2 5	0 6	2 5	1 7	. (0 0		5	0 3	3.	0 0															
	6	1 4 . 2 5	0 6	00	1 8	. (0 0		6	0	0.	0 0															
	7	1 3 . 5 0	0 6	5 0	1 5		2 5																				
	8	1 3 . 0 0	0 6	00	1 8	. 0	0 0																				
	9	1 4 . 0 0	0 5	50	1 8	. (0 0																				
1	0	1 3 . 2 5	0 5	50	1 7	1.1	5 0																				
1	1	13.50	0 5	50	1 8		0 0																				
1	2	1 3 . 5 0	0 5	. 5 0	1 7		0 0																				
1	3	13.50	0 5	50	1 8	. (0 0																				
1	4	1 3 . 0 0	0 5	. 5 0	1 8	1.10	0 0																				
1	5	1 3 . 2 5	0 5	. 5 0	18	$\left \cdot \right ^{0}$																					
1	6	1 3 . 0 0	06	. 0 0	17	$\left \cdot \right ^{0}$	0 0																				
1	1	1 3 . 5 0	0 6		1 8	$\frac{1}{1}$																					
1	8	1 3 . 2 5	0 6	00	00	1.1	0 0																				

? _ 🗆 🗙



- Inspectors Connect to Pontis Database
- Identify Bridges for Inspection
- Select on Inspection Desktop
- Create Download Set
- Hot sync to PHDC

palmOne	TUNGSTEN
Bridge No. 022600051005 02260051005 022600601000 0226006030000 022600702007 022600702007 022600702007	1 of 10 Facility Carried 312 US0090 471 US0090 101 US0090 101 LA0048 102 LA0048 101 US0061 761 US0061 751 US0001
022600702085 022600702085 022600702085 Find	Up Down

					r	
Market Inspection	Layout Cou	int Find.	Select S	ave Selec	t All Just Selected	
Roy	ws 116 to 138 of 18	73 Layout:	District 03 Structure	es (xl_district0	3)	
te Download Sets	Bridge ID	Feature Int	ersected Dist	Cnty	Meters Built Recall No	. Fac
ce Download Secs		1				
Palm Device Name		Brid	ges In Downloa	d Set For Th	is User - Rows 1 to 3 o	
Palm Device Name /	HotSync ID		Bridge ID	Recall No.	Feature Intersected	Facility
aratano			3013920104721	030183	CANAL	LA0095
1		- 00	3013950400001	004841	B.QUE DE TORTUE	LA0035
2		0	3014500405681	004970	INTERSTATE 10	LOC RD
3						
3 4						
3 4 5		-				
3 4 5 Iload Which Bridge Iy Bridges Selecte Iy Bridges Listed o Bridges Meeting F Bridges in the Dat	s? d on Desktop in Desktop ilter Criteria abase	- - - -	K < > >	Rem	ove Selected Bridges	From Set



- Inspections are Performed
- Data Entered into PHDC
- Photos Taken
- Inspectors Return to Office





- Completed Inspections Uploaded Through Hot Sync
- Photos Renamed and Transferred from Camera to HQ Server
- Scans Transferred to HQ Server



P:\Inspection Documents\District_03\Lafayette_28\Off System	h\030005\Inventory Photos\2007_10_25
<u>File Edit Yiew Favorites Iools H</u> elp	
G Back 🔹 🕥 - 🎓 Search 📂 Folders 💷 -	
Address C P:\Inspection Documents\District_03\Lafayette_28\Off System	1\030005\Inventory Photos\2007_10_25 🗾 🔁 Go
Folders ×	
🗄 🛅 Inspection Database 📃	4
🖃 🚞 Inspection Documents	4
🗄 🛅 District_02	
🖂 🧰 District_03	the second second
🖂 🧰 Acadia_01	2
🗉 🧰 Off System	
🗄 🛅 On System	
E 🛅 03010031004514	
🕀 🛅 Evangeline_20	
🗉 🫅 Iberia_23	
🖃 🫅 Lafayette_28	
🖂 🧰 Off System	
🖃 🧰 030005	
🗉 🛅 Deficiency Photos	
🖂 🚞 Inventory Photos	
2007_10_25	
🕀 🛅 Misc	C 🛈 🗥 🗠
🗉 🚞 Plans	
🗉 🧰 Streambed Profile	
E 🛅 030008	
🕀 🧰 030018	Children and Charles
🗄 🧰 030019	
E 🛅 030021	
🗉 🛅 030022	
🗄 🧰 030023	Downstream View. JPG Profile. JPG Roadway Approach Ro
🗉 🛅 030024	Direction Of Inve Opp
🗉 🛅 030025	



Modified:

10/17/2007 06:32:54

- Multimedia Files
 - Pictures
 - Scans
 - Word Documents
- Multimedia Auto-Link Application Run Nightly



Open



Future Tasks

- District Inspectors Collect Full Cycle of Pontis Element Inspections (2 Years)
- Run Pontis Simulations
 - Utilizing all Inspection Data, Cost Information, and Deterioration Models
 - Generate Priority List for Projects
 - Generate MR&R Plan and Forecast Benefits for Optimization of Bridge Funding



Pontis Results

- Future Network Conditions
- Future Bridge Conditions
- Recommended Actions
- Replace or Preserve



Network Needs

Pontis 4.4.3 - You	are currently logg	ed in as PONTIS				
<u> Eile View T</u> ools <u>W</u> in	ndow <u>H</u> elp					
Desktop - Network-L	Level Program Res	sults [00 - Defaul	t scenario]			
Results	_	EHT D	1			
() 	Specification	s Report 1				
	Needs and	Projected W	ork for Scenario	Default scenario		
	Years 2008	- 2032				
		2002				
Rummerize						
Summanze	50,000,	000 r				
1	40,000,	000 -				
Print	5 30,000,	000				
	C 20,000,			1777.0		
Reports	10,000,					
		2008		2018		
			١	/ear	67	
		Needs		Vork		
		11/22-2	2000 (March 1990)			
		Co	st (\$)	Benefi	t (\$) from	
	Year	Needs	Programmed Work	Meeting All Needs	Programmed Work	
	2008	41,353,861	9,999,679	1,320,270,585	794,102,953	
	2009	31,992,061	9,995,239	604,737,334	321,481,429	
	2010	22,578,892	9,997,424	302,103,392	198,275,411	
	2011	13,020,323	9,990,000	111,000,100		
	2012	3,300,177	3,300,177 7 150 210	10,709,000	10,709,000	
	2013	7,100,010 E 474 E44	7,100,310 E 474 E44	240,000,000	240,000,000 264,700,010	
	2014	0,471,014 4 002 000	3,471,514	304,700,313 175 500 050	304,700,313 175 500 050	
	2015	4,207,322 1 002 000	4,207,322 1,002,014	57,000,900 57,971,100	57 971 100	
	2016	1,993,924	1,995,924	54,071,199	54,071,199	
			CloseHe	elp Print	About This Rep	oort



Preservation Needs

🔣 Pontis 4.4.3 - You	are currently logg	ed in as PONTIS				
<u>File View T</u> ools <u>W</u> in	ndow <u>H</u> elp					
Desktop - Network-I	Level Program Res	ults [00 - Default	scenario]			
Description	 +					
Results						
(g	Specification	s Report 1	E Report 2			
	Preservatio	n Needs and	Projected Work f	or Scenario: Def	ault scenario	
	Vears 2008	- 2032	in rejected worki	or occinante. Den		
	16413 2000	- 2002				
100						
Summarize	25,000,	000 r 🕳				
·	20,000,	000 -				
Print	🔰 🙀 15,000,	000 -			82	
	ပီ 10,000,					
Reports	5,000,					_
		08 09 10 1	11 12 13 14 15 16 1	7 18 19 20 21 22 2	3 24 25 26 27	
	AM)	/ear		
		Needs		Vork		
			100 A.C.		30	
		Co	st (\$)	Benefi	t (\$) from	
	Year	Needs*	Programmed Work	Meeting All Needs	Programmed Work	
	2008	24,383,817	9,091,877	1,005,857,522	762,737,120	
	2009	15,929,814	3,269,325	314,118,025	135,413,774	
	2010	13,242,555	7,009,027	194,938,232	135,135,393	
	2011	6,519,386	6,192,571	66,239,156	64,408,111	
	2012	402,238	402,238	4,571,508	4,571,508	
	2013	4,739,197	4,739,197	225,026,397	225,026,397	
	2014	3,138,463	3,138,463	343,287,234	343,287,234	
	2015	3,180,325	3,180,325	145,449,713	145,449,713	
	2016	1,993,933	1,993,933	54,871,190	54,871,190	-1
			Close He	elp Print	About Thi	s Report
					2 M	



Performance Indicator Sufficiency Rating

sktop - Network-Le	vel Program Res	sults [00 - Defau	lt scenario]					
Results	·				4			
1	🛃 Specification	s 🛛 🛄 Report 1	Report 2	III Report 3				
	Sufficiency	Rating Sce	nario: Def	ault scenari	0			
Close All	Years 2008	3 - 2032	inalie. Der					
Cummorizo 1								
Summanze	400 -							
	ಕ ಕ		l na n	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	21.000	1000	1	
Print	1 300 ·							
Reports	₽ 100							
Reports	100 g					22 24 25		
Reports	100 N 0	8 09 10 11 1	2 13 14 15	16 17 18 19 Year	20 21 22	23 24 25	26 27	
Reports		8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1	2 13 14 15	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3	20 21 22	23 24 25 Cat 4	26 27	
Reports		8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1	2 13 14 15 Cat 2	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3	20 21 22	23 24 25 Cat 4	26 27	
Reports		8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 Mumbe	2 13 14 15 Cat 2	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 s by Suff. Ratio	20 21 22	23 24 25 Cat 4	26 27	
Reports		8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 Numbe Cat 1	2 13 14 15 Cat 2 r of Structures Cat 2	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 s by Suff. Ratin Cat 3	20 21 22	23 24 25 Cat 4	26 27	
Reports	₩ 100	8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 <25	2 13 14 15 Cat 2 r of Structures Cat 2 25-50	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 s by Suff. Ration Cat 3 50-80	20 21 22 mg Category Cat 4 >80	23 24 25 Cat 4 Total	26 27	
Reports	<u><u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> </u>	8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 <25 23	2 13 14 15 Cat 2 r of Structures Cat 2 25-50 118	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 s by Suff. Ratin Cat 3 50-80 382	20 21 22 mg Category Cat 4 >80 250	23 24 25 Cat 4 Total 773	26 27	
Reports	<u> </u>	8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 <25 23 19	2 13 14 15 Cat 2 r of Structures Cat 2 25.50 118 121	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 5 by Suff. Ration Cat 3 50-80 382 379	20 21 22 20 21 22 19 Category Cat 4 >80 250 254	23 24 25 Cat 4 Total 773 773	26 27	
Reports	Year 2008 2009 2010	8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 23 19 15	2 13 14 15 Cat 2 Cat 2 Cat 2 25-50 118 121 121	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 50 Suff. Ration Cat 3 50-80 382 379 382	20 21 22 The contract of the second	23 24 25 Cat 4 Total 773 773 773 773	26 27	
Reports	Year 2008 2009 2010 2011	8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 Cat 1 <25 23 19 15 18	2 13 14 15 Cat 2 Cat 2 Cat 2 25-50 118 121 121 122	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 5 by Suff. Ration Cat 3 50-80 382 379 382 373	20 21 22 The category Cat 4 >80 250 254 255 260	23 24 25 Cat 4 Total 773 773 773 773 773 773	26 27	
Reports	Year 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012	8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 ■ Cat 1 ■ Cat 1 <25 23 19 15 18 18 18	2 13 14 15 Cat 2 Cat 2 Cat 2 25.50 118 121 121 122 127	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 5 by Suff. Ratio Cat 3 50-80 382 379 382 373 366	20 21 22 20 20 20	23 24 25 Cat 4 Total 773 773 773 773 773 773 773 773 773	26 27	
Reports	Year 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013	8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 ■ Cat 1 ■ Cat 1 <25 23 19 15 18 18 18 25	2 13 14 15 Cat 2 Cat 2 Cat 2 25.50 118 121 121 122 127 143	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 5 by Suff. Ration Cat 3 50-80 382 379 382 379 382 373 366 343	20 21 22 20 21 22 20 21 22 20 21 22 20 21 22 22 22 22 22 25 25 260 262 262 262	23 24 25 Cat 4 Total 773 773 773 773 773 773 773 773 773 77	26 27	
Reports	• 100 •	8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 ■ Cat 1 ■ Cat 1 <25 23 19 15 18 18 18 18 25 23	2 13 14 15 Cat 2 r of Structures Cat 2 25-50 118 121 121 121 122 127 143 146	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 5 by Suff. Ratio Cat 3 50-80 382 379 382 379 382 373 366 343 341	20 21 22 20 20 20 20	23 24 25 Cat 4 Total 773 773 773 773 773 773 773 77	26 27	
Reports	• 100 • 100 • 100 • 0 • 0 • 0 • 0 • 0 • 0 • 0 •	8 09 10 11 1 Cat 1 ■ Cat 1 ■ Cat 1 <25 23 19 15 18 18 18 25 23 23 23 27	2 13 14 15 Cat 2 r of Structures Cat 2 25-50 118 121 121 121 122 127 143 146 141	16 17 18 19 Year Cat 3 50-80 382 379 382 379 382 373 366 343 341 345	20 21 22 20 20 20	23 24 25 Cat 4 Total 773 773 773 773 773 773 773 77	26 27	



Performance Indicators Health Index

- COCCOOCCI 10
•



Health Index – One Bridge





Health Index – One Bridge

Louisiana DOTD

Office of Planning and Programming Bridge Management

Bridge Health Index Detail

Bridge ID): 61040	0502	2000	01			Inspected: 08/15/2007 QBMJ								
	Total	%	of Q	uant b	y State	Э	Unit	Total Elem	Frac	Contr	ibutio	n by S	tate	Cond	Curr Elem
Elem/Env	Quant	1	2	3	4	5	Value	Value	1	2	3	4	5	Index	Value
12/2	11,375	0	0	100	0	0	2,768	31,487,360	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	50%	15,743,680
107/2	4,456	93	7	0	0	0	51,806	230,855,780	0.93	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	98%	226,238,664
202/2	41	20	80	0	0	0	506,250	20,756,250	0.20	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	80%	16,605,000
205/2	69	100	0	0	0		854,370	58,951,530	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		100%	58,951,530
207/2	4	100	0	0	0		2,784,107	11,136,428	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		100%	11,136,428
215/2	27	100	0	0	0		59,019	1,601,011	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		100%	1,601,011
231/2	329	0	0	100	0	0	77,709	25,580,441	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	50%	12,790,221
234/2	210	100	0	0	0		106,660	22,431,919	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		100%	22,431,919
304/2	608	31	25	44			3,789	2,303,064	0.31	0.13	0.00			44%	1,013,348
305/2	29	0	100	0			5,684	164,588	0.00	0.50	0.00			50%	82,294
306/2	26	19	33	48			29,429	753,480	0.19	0.17	0.00			36%	271,253
311/2	152	61	35	5			25,800	3,921,600	0.61	0.17	0.00			78%	3,058,848
313/2	112	51	37	13			24,510	2,745,120	0.51	0.18	0.00			69%	1,894,133
321/2	2	100	0	0	0		120,880	241,760	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		100%	241,760
322/2	17	0	0	100			1,115	19,040	0.00	0.00	0.00			0%	0
331/2	2,204	100	0	0	0		8,685	19,139,236	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		100%	19,139,236
333/2	24	100	0	0			1,138	27,744	1.00	0.00	0.00			100%	27,744
580/2	10	100	0	0			0	0	1.00	0.00	0.00			100%	0
585/2	2	0	100	0			0	0	0.00	0.50	0.00			50%	0
Health	Index 9	0.54%	6		Total Va	Ele alue	ement Sum	432,116,350			с	urrent V	Elem alue S	ent um	391,227,069



Pontis Priority Listing

Louisiana DOTD

Office of Planning and Programming Bridge Management

Pontis Work Candidate Priority List Pontis work candidates grouped by bridge, listed in decreasing order of benefit/cost ratio

Bridge ID	Feature Intersected	Year	Predom. Action	Predom. Object	Cost(\$)	Benefit(\$)	BCR
05370670907131	LA 34 OVER I-20	2008	Min Repair	Bearing-Moveable	8,321	1,049,126	126.08
05310231000582	US 167 OVER I-20	2008	Rehab Elem	Bearing-Fixed	30,624	3,283,890	107.23
62484501304151	MISSISSIPPI BAYOU	2008	Min Repair	Deck Joint-Pour Seal	14,640	476,331	32.54
05373150206901	CROSS BAYOU	2008	Rehab Elem	Relief Joint	5,795	334,794	57.77
08408404304201	KCS R/R FT BUHLOW	2008	Rehab Elem	Bearing-Fixed	37,837	4,431,226	117.11
62598592501431	SILVER CREEK	2008	Rehab Elem	Deck-Timber-AC Ovly	10,277	329,668	32.08
05378371203841	CHENIERE SPILLWAY	2008	Repl Elem	Stringer/Girder-Timb	5,739	210,681	36.71
07274500300772	I-10 OVER US 165 & MP RR	2008	Min Repair	Bearing-Moveable	61,812	2,739,067	44.31
08580240611551	BAYOU ZOUVIE	2008	Rehab Elem	Bearing-Fixed	42,576	6,200,187	145.63
62320130701901	BIG BRANCH	2008	Rehab Elem	Bearing-Fixed	25,493	765,221	30.02
61172540211781	HUBS BAYOU	2008	Min Repair	Deck Joint-Pour Seal	8,535	449,329	52.65
05314510512001	1 20	2008	Min Repair	Bearing-Moveable	9,934	1,564,382	157.48
02550050500721	DRAIN CANAL	2008	Min Repair	Deck Joint-Pour Seal	14,946	517,422	34.62
58211690100231	ASH SLOUGH	2008	Min Repair	Deck Joint-Pour Seal	49,722	1,593,311	32.04
02454503803756	S.P. R.R. & LA 631	2008	Repl Elem	Bearing-Elastomeric	34,165	2,427,935	71.06
02552470106421	ROBINSON CANAL	2008	Rehab Elem	Cap-Conc-Rienf	167,667	5,019,887	29.94
04160350504201	FRIERSON BRANCH	2008	Rehab Elem	Column-Timber	15,426	2,000,529	129.69
62322660300001	BAYOU PIERRE	2008	Min Repair	Bearing-Moveable	23,018	1,314,546	57.11
61172500110621	COPPER MILL BAYOU	2008	Rehab Elem	Relief Joint	11,136	479,697	43.08
62534150201671	NATALBANY RIVER	2008	Rehab Elem	Column-Timber	8,540	678,642	79.47
02264501503821	VET MEM HWY	2008	Min Repair	Deck Joint-Pour Seal	120,537	9,505,132	78.86
03512390202651	IVANHOE CANAL	2008	Min Repair	Deck Joint-Pour Seal	27,608	893,732	32.37
03010570316761	_	2008	Min Repair	Deck Joint-Pour Seal	18,747	1,066,859	56.91
08228221300211	BAYOU RIGGOLETTE	2008	Rehab Elem	Deck-Timber-AC Ovly	12,191	392,916	32.23
08220400303021	BIG CREEK	2008	Min Repair	Slab-P/C-LW-AC Ovl	42,855	3,705,646	86.47
02294070209361	VALENTINE CANAL	2008	Min Repair	Deck Joint-Pour Seal	17,865	1,244,471	69.66
04600270313242	KCS RR MINDEN	2008	Rehab Elem	Bearing-Fixed	13,472	899,685	66.78
Scenario: 00 Def PLAN007 Pontis	ault scenario Prioritv List					Thu 2/14/2008 Pag	07:56:53 ae 1 of 73

PLAN007_Pontis_Priority_List



Conclusions



- Pontis Implementation Progressing
- Remaining 7 Districts Trained by June, 2008
- Customization
- Analysis
- Reporting





Thank You

