#### **TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD PAGE**

- 1. Title and Subtitle MASH TL-4 Engineering Analyses and Detailing of 36-in. and 42-in. High Median Barriers for LADOTD
- 2. Author(s) William F. Williams and Jilong Cui
- 3. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas A&M Transportation Institute The Texas A&M University system College Station, Texas 77843
- 4. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development 9. No. of Pages P.O. Box 94245 Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245

- 5. Report No. FHWA/LA.23/680
- 6. Report Date July 2023
- 7. Performing Organization Code LTRC Project Number: 23-1ST DOTLT No. 1000464
- 8. Type of Report and Period Covered **Technical Report** August 1, 2022–January 31, 2023

184

10. Supplementary Notes

Conducted in Cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration

11. Distribution Statement

Unrestricted. This document is available through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 21161.

12. Key Words

Median barrier; MASH; bridge rail; MASH TL-4; Test Level 4 barrier

13. Abstract

Single-slope median barriers are currently planned for several bridges in Louisiana. For these bridges, both 36-in. and 42-in. designs were developed and considered as part of this project. Both barrier designs can be used near a longitudinal joint that is approximately 1 in. wide. The 36-in. high barrier is similar to the single-slope traffic rail (SSTR) used by the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), which was successfully crash tested according to the Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH) Test Level 4 (TL-4) in July 2010. The Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development has incorporated the TxDOT SSTR bridge rail height and profile for the median barriers planned for this project. The 42-in. high barrier maintains the same slope as the 36-in. median barrier but has a smaller top thickness. This project aimed to evaluate the strength of the proposed median barrier designs according to the American Association of State Highways and

Transportation Officials *Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) Bridge Design Specifications* for MASH TL-4 crash performance. The report presents the results of the strength analyses performed on these designs and offers recommendations for improving their strength and performance under MASH TL-4 impact conditions.

## **Project Review Committee**

Each research project will have an advisory committee appointed by the LTRC Director. The Project Review Committee is responsible for assisting the LTRC Administrator or Manager in the development of acceptable research problem statements, requests for proposals, review of research proposals, oversight of approved research projects, and implementation of findings.

LTRC appreciates the dedication of the following Project Review Committee Members in guiding this research study to fruition.

#### LTRC Administrator/Manager

Walid Alaywan, Ph.D. Senior Structures Research Engineer/Project Research Manager

> *Members* ZhengZheng Fu Carl Gaudry Chris Guidry

#### **Directorate Implementation Sponsor**

Christopher P. Knotts, P.E. DOTD Chief Engineer

# MASH TL-4 Engineering Analyses and Detailing of 36-in. and 42-in. High Median Barriers for DOTD

By William Williams, P.E. Jilong Cui, Ph.D.

Texas A&M Transportation Institute 3135 TAMU College Station, Texas 77843-3135

> LTRC Project No. 23-1ST DOTLT No. 1000464

> > conducted for

Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development Louisiana Transportation Research Center

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author/principal investigator who is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein.

The contents do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development, the Federal Highway Administration or the Louisiana Transportation Research Center. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

July 2023

#### Abstract

Single-slope median barriers are currently planned for several bridges in Louisiana. For these bridges, both 36-in. and 42-in. high single-slope median barriers were developed and considered as part of this project. Both barrier designs can be used near a longitudinal joint that is approximately 1 in. wide. The 36-in. high barrier is similar to the single-slope traffic rail (SSTR) used by the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), which was successfully crash tested according to the Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH) Test Level 4 (TL-4) in July 2010 (Texas A&M Transportation Institute Project 420020-9). The Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development has incorporated the TxDOT SSTR bridge rail height and profile for the median barrier planned for this project. The 42-in. high barrier maintains the same slope as the 36-in. median barrier design. The top thickness of the 42-in. barrier is smaller. The purpose of this project was to analyze the strength of the proposed designs with respect to the American Association of State Highways and Transportation Officials Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) Bridge Design Specifications [1] for MASH TL-4 crash performance requirements [2]. This report presents the results of the strength analyses performed on the 32-in. and 42-in. median barriers analyzed for this project and provides recommendations on improving the strength and performance of these designs with respect to MASH TL-4 impact conditions.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Walid Alaywan, Ph.D., Senior Structures Research Engineer at the Louisiana Transportation Research Center; ZhengZheng Fu, Bridge Design Engineer Administrator at the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD); Chris Guidry, Assistant Bridge Design Administrator at DOTD; and Carl Gaudry, Bridge Design Manager at DOTD. These individuals contributed greatly to the success of this project. Their assistance and involvement in this project are highly appreciated.

## **Implementation Statement**

The bridge rail designs analyzed and presented herein meet the strength and performance requirements for the *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware* Test Level 4 specifications [2]. These bridge rail designs are recommended for implementation on the Louisiana bridges that meet the deck requirements as presented herein. For additional information, refer to the information, drawings, and calculations provided in this report.

## **Table of Contents**

Technical Report Standard Page	1
Project Review Committee	3
LTRC Administrator/Manager	3
Members	3
Directorate Implementation Sponsor	3
MASH TL-4 Engineering Analyses and Detailing of 36-in. and 42-in. High Median	
Barriers for DOTD	4
Abstract	5
Acknowledgments	6
Implementation Statement	7
Table of Contents	8
List of Tables	9
List of Figures	10
Introduction	11
Objective	14
Scope	15
Task 1 — Engineering Analysis and Details	15
Task 2 — Reporting	16
Methodology	17
Engineering Analyses and Design of 36-in. and 42-in. Median	
Barriers for MASH TL-4 Loading Specifications	18
Discussion of Results	44
Conclusions	45
Recommendations	46
Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols	47
References	48
Appendix A — Drawings and Details	49
Appendix B — Calculation Worksheets	70

## **List of Tables**

Table 1. Design forces for traffic railings	. 17
Table 2. Plate model analysis results	. 40
Table 3. DOTD 36-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint right side (Opposite	
Joint Impact)	. 41
Table 4. DOTD 36-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint left side (Longitudinal	
Joint Side Impact)	. 41
Table 5. DOTD 36-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint	. 42
Table 6. DOTD 42-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint right side (Opposite	
Joint Impact)	. 42
Table 7. DOTD 42-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint left side (Longitudinal	
Joint Side Impact)	. 42
Table 8. DOTD 42-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint	. 43

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1. Proposed 36-in. median barrier design	. 12
Figure 2. Dimensional details of 36-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint	. 19
Figure 3. Dimensional details of 36-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint	. 20
Figure 4. Dimensional details of 36-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint	. 22
Figure 5. Reinforcing details of 36-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint	. 23
Figure 6. Dimensional details of 42-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint	. 25
Figure 7. Reinforcing details of 42-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint	. 26
Figure 8. Dimensional details of 42-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint	28
Figure 9. Reinforcing details of 42-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint	. 29
Figure 10. Overview of barrier FEA model	. 31
Figure 11. Schematic of barrier cross section	. 31
Figure 12. Schematic of barrier FEA model	. 32
Figure 13. Reaction of barrier FEA model (Typical)	. 33
Figure 14. Reaction of barrier FEA model — Load at end-section	. 34
Figure 15. Reaction of barrier FEA model — Load at mid-span	. 34
Figure 16. Exterior plate FEA model	. 35
Figure 17. Interior plate FEA model	. 36
Figure 18. Loadings on plate FEA models (Typical)	. 37
Figure 19. Plate model analysis results for 36-in. median barrier	. 38
Figure 20. Plate model analysis results for 42-in. median barrier	. 39

## Introduction

Single-slope median barriers are currently planned for several bridges in Louisiana. For these bridges, both 36-in. and 42-in. high single-slope median barrier designs were developed and considered as part of this project. The 36-in. high single-slope design initially received for this project is shown in Figure 1. The proposed design shown in Figure 1 is similar in profile and height to the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) single-slope traffic rail (SSTR), which was successfully crash tested according to the *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware* (MASH) [2] Test Level 4 (TL-4) in July 2010 (Texas A&M Transportation Institute [TTI] Project 420020-9). The Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD) has incorporated the TxDOT SSTR bridge rail height and profile for the median barrier design shown in Figure 1. In addition to the 36-in. design, a similar 42-in. barrier with the same slope is needed for this project. The top width of the 42-in. barrier will be reduced to 75% in. The profile, geometry, and reinforcing steel will also be similar for the 42-in. barrier—only taller.





For this project, TTI proposed performing engineering strength calculations to determine if the proposed designs meet the strength and performance requirements of MASH TL-4. MASH TL-4 strength analyses were performed on four different barrier cases (six total sets of analyses). These four cases are as follows:

- 1. A 36-in. single-slope median barrier without the longitudinal open joint (as shown in Figure 1 except no longitudinal open joint) with an 8½-in. thick deck supported by girders spaced 8 ft. O/C.
- 2. A 36-in. single-slope median barrier with the longitudinal open joint (as shown in Figure 1) with possible impacts on both sides of the barrier (two analyses).
- 3. A 42-in. single-slope median barrier without the longitudinal open joint (same as Figure 1 except 42 in. tall and 7<sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub> in. wide at top) with an 8<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-in. thick deck supported by girders spaced 8 ft. O/C.
- 4. A 42-in. single-slope median barrier with the longitudinal open joint (same as Figure 1 except 42 in. tall and 75% in. wide at top) with possible impacts on both sides of the barrier.

The strength analyses performed for this project followed the procedures in Section 13 of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) Bridge Design Specifications* [1] for MASH TL-4 impact loading conditions [2]. Based on the analyses, review of the proposed details, and all available information, TTI made recommendations as necessary to improve the strength and performance of the barrier designs with respect to MASH TL-4 impact conditions. This technical report summarizes the engineering strength analyses and any recommended changes or details to improve the strength and performance of the barrier designs with respect to MASH TL-4 impact of the strength and performance of the strength and performance of the strength and performance of the MASH TL-4 impact conditions. TTI will also provide a summary of its review of all available information for the barrier designs with respect to MASH TL-4 specifications in the report.

## Objective

The objective of this research was to analyze the strengths of the four proposed median barrier designs considered for this project in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Section 13 specifications for MASH TL-4 impact conditions. These designs are briefly described below and shown in Figure 1 (36-in. median barrier):

- 1. A 36-in. single-slope median barrier without the longitudinal open joint (as shown in Figure 1 except no longitudinal open joint).
- 2. A 36-in. single-slope median barrier with the longitudinal open joint (as shown in Figure 1).
- 3. A 42-in. single-slope median barrier without the longitudinal open joint (same as Figure 1 except 42 in. tall and 7<sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub> in. wide at top).
- 4. A 42-in. single-slope median barrier with the longitudinal open joint (same as Figure 1 except 42 in. tall and 75% in. wide at top).

Recommendations and improvements are provided in this technical report as necessary based on the analyses performed for this project to improve the strength and performance of the barrier designs for MASH TL-4 impact conditions.

## Scope

The scope of this project was to provide engineering analyses and details on the proposed median barrier designs and to prepare a technical report of the findings. A brief discussion of the tasks is presented in this section.

#### Task 1 — Engineering Analysis and Details

For this task, engineering strength analyses were performed on the four proposed designs considered for this project in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Section 13 specifications for MASH TL-4 impact conditions. These designs are briefly described below and shown in the figures that follow.

- An engineering strength analysis was performed using a 36-in. single-slope median barrier without a longitudinal open joint in the deck. This analysis considered an 8.5-in. thick deck supported between two concrete girders spaced 8 ft. O/C. The barrier was anchored to the deck in the center between the two concrete girders. Appendix A provides additional details.
- 2. Two engineering strength analyses were performed using a 36-in. single-slope median barrier with a longitudinal open joint in the deck. These analyses considered an 11.0-in. thick deck cantilever. The width of the deck cantilever was 4 ft. 1½ in. wide from the centerline of the exterior girder to the edge of the deck. The barrier was anchored to the deck 1½ in. from the edge of the deck cantilever. Strength analyses were performed considering crash impact loading on each side of the barrier with the longitudinal joint in the deck. Appendix A provides additional details.
- 3. An engineering strength analysis was performed using a 42-in. single-slope median barrier without a longitudinal open joint in the deck. This analysis considered an 8.5-in. thick deck supported between two concrete girders spaced 8 ft. O/C. The barrier was anchored to the deck in the center between the two concrete girders. Appendix A provides additional details.
- 4. Two engineering strength analyses were performed using a 42-in. single-slope median barrier with a longitudinal open joint in the deck. This analysis considered an 11.0-in. thick deck cantilever. The width of the deck cantilever was 4 ft. 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> in. wide from the centerline of the exterior girder to the edge of the deck. The barrier

was anchored to the deck  $1\frac{1}{2}$  in. from the edge of the deck cantilever. Strength analyses were performed considering crash impact loading on each side of the barrier with the longitudinal joint in the deck. Appendix A provides additional details, and Appendix B presents the calculations.

Engineering details were developed for each design, as necessary, to improve the strength and performance of the proposed designs with respect to MASH TL-4 impact conditions. The principal investigator worked closely with the DOTD and Louisiana Transportation Research Center (LTRC) project team to develop the details used for this project. All analyses generated for the proposed designs developed for this project were submitted to the DOTD and LTRC project team for their review and approval. These analyses are included in Appendix B.

#### Task 2 — Reporting

Generating a final report was part of the scope of this project. This report provides details and descriptions of the proposed median barrier designs developed for this project. This report contains all analyses done in Mathcad 8.0 format developed and generated for this project. All details developed to improve the strength and performance of the median barriers included in this project are provided in the drawings in Appendix A.

## Methodology

The procedures outlined in Section 13 of the AASHTO *Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) Bridge Design Specifications* [1] were used to perform the analyses on the median barrier designs for this project. The commercial software RISA-3D was used to perform finite element modeling of the median barrier designs and the concrete decks to determine the reactions from the barrier to the supporting concrete decks. The reactions from the barrier models were then used on separate finite element models for the supporting concrete deck structures. The bending moments in the deck from the barrier reactions were recorded and used as the demand bending moments. For the different barrier cases, the demand bending moments in the deck from the MASH TL-4 impact conditions on the barrier were then compared to the actual design bending moments that were calculated based on the deck design parameters and conditions.

Since the development of the crash testing specifications in MASH in 2009, the crash loads for TL-4 barriers have increased from those currently listed in Section 13 of the LRFD specifications [1]. In 2017, a separate research project determined the magnitude and location of the resultant force from MASH crash vehicles [3]. The design loads from this study were used in place of the LRFD Section 13 design load specifications. Table 1 provides the design loads used in the analyses for MASH TL-4 impact conditions.

Test Level	Rail Height (inch)	Ft (kin)	F <sub>L</sub>	Fv (kin)	Lt/LL (ft)	Lv (ft)	He (inch)	H <sub>min</sub> (inch)
TL-1	18 or above	( <b>Kp</b> ) 13.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
TL-2	18 or above	27.0	9.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	20.0	18.0
TL-3	29 or above	71.0	18.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	19.0	29.0
TL-4 (a)	36	68.0	22.0	38.0	4.0	18.0	25.0	36.0
TL-4 (b)	36–42	80.0	27.0	22.0	5.0	18.0	30.0	36.0
TL-5 (a)	42	160.0	41.0	80.0	10.0	40.0	35.0	42.0
TL-5 (b)	Greater than 42	262.0	75.0	160.0	10.0	40.0	43.0	42.0

Table 1. Design forces for traffic railings

### Engineering Analyses and Design of 36-in. and 42-in. Median Barriers for MASH TL-4 Loading Specifications

#### DOTD 36-in. Median Barrier with Longitudinal Joint

Details were developed for the proposed DOTD 36-in. median barrier with a 1.0-in. wide longitudinal joint. The proposed barrier will be anchored to a 4-ft. 1½-in. wide deck cantilever. The thickness of the deck cantilever immediately adjacent to the 1.0-in. wide longitudinal joint is 11 in. The concrete compressive strength of the deck and barrier is 4000 psi. Details of the design are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. For additional information, refer to the drawings and details in Appendix A.



Figure 2. Dimensional details of 36-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint



Figure 3. Dimensional details of 36-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint

#### DOTD 36-in. Median Barrier without Longitudinal Joint

Details were developed for the proposed DOTD 36-in. median barrier without a 1.0-in. wide longitudinal joint adjacent to the barrier. For this case, the proposed barrier will be anchored between two girders spaced 8 ft. O/C. The thickness of the deck section between the two girders is 8.5 in. The concrete compressive strength of the deck and barrier is 4000 psi. Details of this design anchored between the girders are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5. For additional information, refer to the drawings and details in Appendix A.

#### Figure 4. Dimensional details of 36-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint





Figure 5. Reinforcing details of 36-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint

#### DOTD 42-in. Median Barrier with Longitudinal Joint

Details were developed for the proposed DOTD 42-in. median barrier with a 1.0-in. wide longitudinal joint. The proposed barrier will be anchored to a 4-ft. 1½-in. wide deck cantilever. The thickness of the deck cantilever immediately adjacent to the 1.0-in. wide longitudinal joint is 11 in. The concrete compressive strength of the deck and barrier is 4000 psi. Details of the design are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7. For additional information, refer to the drawings and details in Appendix A.



Figure 6. Dimensional details of 42-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint



Figure 7. Reinforcing details of 42-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint

#### DOTD 42-in. Median Barrier without Longitudinal Joint

Details were developed for the proposed DOTD 42-in. median barrier without a 1.0-in. wide longitudinal joint adjacent to the barrier. For this case, the proposed barrier will be anchored between two girders spaced 8 ft. O/C. The thickness of the deck section between the two girders is 8.5 in. The concrete compressive strength of the deck and barrier is 4000 psi. Details of this design anchored between the girders are shown in Figure 8 and Figure 9. For additional information, refer to the drawings and details in Appendix A.



Figure 8. Dimensional details of 42-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint



Figure 9. Reinforcing details of 42-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint

#### Finite Element Modeling of Barriers Using RISA-3D

The barriers were separated from the deck and modeled independently using meshed solid elements with the commercial structural analysis program RISA-3D. Figure 10 shows the overview of the barrier finite element analysis (FEA) model of a typical barrier modeled with the loads applied at the end-section and mid-span areas of the barrier. The design loads provided in Table 1 for both the 36-in. and 42-in. barriers were used in the FEA models.

The length of the model was set as 50 ft. to ensure the barriers have sufficient length to distribute the transverse impact load at the end-section and the mid-span, and the engaged areas do not interfere with each other. After the project meeting on November 22, 2022, 40 ft. long minimum median barrier sections were analyzed for both the end and mid-span loading cases for the 42-in. barrier design. The reaction loads from the FEA analyses using the shorter 40-ft. long sections were the same as the 50-ft. long sections that were analyzed for this project. In summary, 40-ft. long minimum sections can be used for this project.

The width of the solid elements was 6 in. in the longitudinal direction to represent the spacing of the deck anchorage vertical reinforcement. The barrier models were supported at two locations to represent the vertical deck anchorage into the barriers. As depicted in Figure 11, the two legs of the anchorage reinforcement were set as the supporting points at the base of the barrier to achieve the transfer of the loadings from the barriers to the deck. Pinned supports were used to represent these anchoring locations.

Figure 12 shows the schematic of the barrier FEA models for both 36-in. and 42-in. barriers. In the transverse direction shown in Figure 12, the width of the element at the base layer was set as 3 in. and gradually decreased over the height of the barrier. The transverse MASH TL-4 impact loads were applied at the side of the barrier at the corresponding  $H_e$  heights provided in Table 1.



Figure 11. Schematic of barrier cross section



Supports in FEA model





(b) 42-in. barrier

Figure 13 presents the reactions from the barrier FEA model from the applied MASH TL-4 impact loads. The reactions at the two supporting points at every transverse plane

typically had identical magnitudes with opposite directions. The figure also presents how the transverse impact loads at the end-section and the mid-span disturbed over the length of the barrier. It was apparent from the modeling efforts that the engaged areas for the distribution of the reactions to the deck for both the end-section and the mid-span loading cases did not interfere with each other.







Figure 14 and Figure 15 plot the reactions from the barrier FEA models loaded at the end-section and the mid-span sections, respectively. The reactions were plotted over the

length of the barrier. The plots present the absolute magnitude of the reactions at a single supporting point.



Figure 14. Reaction of barrier FEA model — Load at end-section

Figure 15. Reaction of barrier FEA model — Load at mid-span



## Finite Element Modeling of 36-in. and 42-in. Median Barriers on Deck Sections Using RISA-3D

The deck was modeled using meshed plate elements with RISA-3D. The reactions from the barrier solid models were applied to the deck plate models at the corresponding locations to achieve the deck bending moment demand.

Figure 16 shows an overview of the exterior deck plate model. The length of the plate models were set to 50 ft., the same as the length of the barrier solid models. The width of the exterior plate models (designs incorporating the open longitudinal joints) was set as 4 ft. to represent the distance from the free end of the deck to the center of the adjacent girder. The joint sides of the deck, both longitudinal and transverse, were set as free (no supports). A 6-in.  $\times$  6-in. element size was used for the plate model, while the plate elements at the barrier location were set as 6-in.  $\times$  3-in. elements to align with the barrier solid models.



Figure 16. Exterior plate FEA model

Figure 17 shows the overview of the interior plate model. The interior model is similar to the exterior model except the interior model uses fixed supports at three sides, as shown in Figure 17. The long sides were fixed to simulate the rigid connection to stiff concrete girders. The width of the interior plate model was set as 8 ft. to represent the center-to-center spacing of the girders.

The reactions from the barrier solid models were then applied to the deck plate models, as shown in Figure 18. Three analysis cases were generated for each barrier model:

(a) exterior plate with impact load from right side, (b) exterior plate with impact load from left side, and (c) interior plate.



Figure 17. Interior plate FEA model
### Figure 18. Loadings on plate FEA models (Typical)



(a) Exterior plate model load from inside barrier



(b) Exterior plate model load from outside barrier



(c) Interior plate model

Figure 19 and Figure 20 present the moment analysis results from the deck plate models for the 36-in. and 42-in. median barriers, respectively. Table 2 summarizes the maximum

plate moment demand for each analysis case. For all the analysis cases, the exterior barrier loaded from the right side was the critical case.

The moment demands achieved from the plate analyses were used to evaluate the sufficiency of the deck reinforcement designs.





#### (a) Exterior plate moment load from inside barrier



#### (b) Exterior plate moment load from outside barrier



(c) Interior plate moment





### (a) Exterior plate moment load from inside barrier







(c) Interior plate moment

Analysis No.	Description	Loading Location	Max. Plate Moment (kip-ft./ft.)
1	Exterior 36-in. barrier	End-Section	28.2
1	Load from right side	Mid-Span	16.7
2	Exterior 36-in. barrier	End-Section	25.2
2	Load from left side	Mid-Span	14.2
3	Interior 36 in barrier	End-Section	12.2
3		Mid-Span	7.9
1	Exterior 42-in. barrier	End-Section	35.3
7	Load from right side	Mid-Span	21.8
5	Exterior 42-in. barrier	End-Section	31.2
5	Load from left side	Mid-Span	19.1
6	Interior 42-in barrier	End-Section	15.0
		Mid-Span	9.9

Table 2. Plate model analysis results

### Summary of Analyses for the 36-in. and 42-in. Median Barriers (Six Analyses)

Engineering strength analyses were performed on the 36-in. and 42-in. median barriers for this project. The procedures outlined in Section 13 of the AASHTO *Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) Bridge Design Specifications* [1] were used to perform the analyses on the median barrier designs for this project. The commercial software RISA-3D was used to perform finite element modeling of the median barrier designs and the concrete decks for this project. Finite element modeling was performed on the median barrier designs to determine the reactions from the barriers to the supporting concrete decks. The reactions from the median barrier models were then used on separate finite element models for the supporting concrete deck structures. The bending moments in the deck from the barrier reactions were recorded and used as the demand bending moments. For the different median barrier cases, these demand bending moments in the deck from the MASH TL-4 impact conditions on the barrier were then compared to the actual design bending moments that were calculated based on the concrete deck design parameters and conditions provided for this project.

Since the development of the crash testing specifications in MASH in 2009, the crash loads for TL-4 barriers have increased from those currently listed in the LRFD Section 13 specifications. In 2017, a separate research project determined the magnitude and location of the resultant force from the MASH crash vehicles [3]. The design loads from this study were used in place of the current design loads listed in the LRFD Section 13 specifications. The design loads used in the analyses for MASH TL-4 impact conditions

are provided in Table 1. The strength of the median barriers for this project were calculated at the effective load heights  $H_e$  listed in Table 1. These calculated strengths were then compared to the required strengths at  $H_e$  listed in Table 1. In all six cases, the calculated strengths of the barrier designs exceeded the required strengths listed in Table 1. The calculations presented in Appendix B provide more information.

The overall analysis results for both 36-in. and 42-in. median barriers are presented in this section. For each analysis case, barrier ultimate resistance, punching shear capacity, and deck moment capacity were evaluated at end-section and mid-span based on AASHTO LRFD Section 13 and Section 5. Table 3 through Table 5 present the analysis results for 36-in. median barriers, and Table 6 through Table 8 present the analysis results for 42-in. median barriers. The detailed calculations for these barriers are provided in Appendix B.

Component Strongth	End-Section			<b>Mid-Span</b>		
Component Strength	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D
Barrier Ultimate	154	68	2.26	187	68	2.75
Resistance (kip)	134	08	2.20			
Barrier Punching						
Shear Capacity	201	68	2.96	268	68	3.94
(kip)						
Deck Moment						
Capacity	49	25	1.96	49	14	3.50
(kip-ft/ft)						

Table 3 DOTD 36-in median ba	arrier with longitudinal	ioint right side (O	nnosite Joint Imnact)
Table 5. DOTD 50-III. Incutali Da	arrier with longituumar.	Joint right slut (O	pposite some impace

 Table 4. DOTD 36-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint left side

 (Longitudinal Joint Side Impact)

Component Strongth	<b>End-Section</b>			Mid-Span		
Component Strength	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D
Barrier Ultimate	154	69	2.26	187	68	2.75
Resistance (kip)	134	08	2.20			
Barrier Punching		68	2.96	268	68	3.94
Shear Capacity	201					
(kip)	(kip)					
Deck Moment						
Capacity	43	28	1.54	43	17	2.53
(kip-ft/ft)						

Component Strongth	End-Section			Mid-Span		
Component Strength	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D
Barrier Ultimate	154	69	2.26	187	68	2.75
Resistance (kip)	134	08	2.20			
Barrier Punching						
Shear Capacity	201	68	2.96	268	68	3.94
(kip)						
Deck Moment						
Capacity	17	12	1.42	17	8	2.13
(kip-ft/ft)						

Table 5. DOTD 36-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint

Table 6. DOTD 42-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint right side (Opposite Joint Impact)

Component Strongth	<b>End-Section</b>			Mid-Span		
Component Strength	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D
Barrier Ultimate	144	80	1.90	172	80	2.15
Resistance (kip)	144		1.80			
Barrier Punching		80	2.31	234	80	2.93
Shear Capacity	185					
(kip)						
Deck Moment						
Capacity	49	32	1.53	49	19	2.58
(kip-ft/ft)						

 Table 7. DOTD 42-in. median barrier with longitudinal joint left side

 (Longitudinal Joint Side Impact)

Component Strongth	<b>End-Section</b>			Mid-Span		
Component Strength	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D
Barrier Ultimate	144	80	1.90	172	80	2.15
Resistance (kip)	144	80	1.80			
Barrier Punching		80	2.31	234	80	2.93
Shear Capacity	185					
(kip)						
Deck Moment						
Capacity	43	35	1.23	43	22	1.95
(kip-ft/ft)						

Commence Street	End-Section			Mid-Span		
Component Strength	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D	Capacity (C)	Demand (D)	C/D
Barrier Ultimate	144	80	1.80	172	80	2.15
Resistance (kip)		80				
Barrier Punching						
Shear Capacity	185	80	2.31	234	80	2.93
(kip)						
Deck Moment						
Capacity	18	15	1.20	18	10	1.80
(kip-ft/ft)						

Table 8. DOTD 42-in. median barrier without longitudinal joint

# **Discussion of Results**

Based on the results of the analyses performed for this project, the details shown for the 36-in. and 42-in. high median barriers presented herein are acceptable for MASH TL-4 impact conditions. The reinforcing steel shown on the drawings in this report for the decks are also acceptable for MASH TL-4 impact conditions. For both the 36-in. and 42-in. median barrier designs:

- 1. Vertical No. 4 stirrup should be spaced 6 in. O/C from the end of the barrier sections for a minimum distance of 10 ft. After 10 ft. from the ends, these No. 4 stirrups should be spaced on 12 in. O/C.
- 2. For the 42-in. median barriers, six equally spaced longitudinal No. 4 bars should be provided on each face and located within the No. 4 stirrups. A total of 12 longitudinal bars should be provided for the 42-in. high median barriers for this project.
- 3. For the 36-in. median barriers, five equally spaced longitudinal No. 4 bars should be provided on each face and located within the No. 4 stirrups. Ten longitudinal bars should be provided for the 36-in. high median barriers for this project.
- 4. For this project, a minimum section length of 40 ft. is recommended for all designs.
- 5. The drawings and details in Appendix A provide additional information.
- 6. The designs of the barriers and decks, as shown in the drawings in Appendix A, are acceptable for MASH TL-4 impact conditions.
- 7. The calculations for the median barrier designs in Appendix B provide additional information.

The designs provided herein for the 36-in. and 42-in. median barriers meet the strength and performance criteria for MASH TL-4. In all cases provided in the previous tables, the capacities of the barriers and decks exceed the demand strengths for MASH TL-4 impact conditions.

# Conclusions

Based on the results of the analyses performed for this project, the details shown for the 36-in. and 42-in. high median barriers presented herein are acceptable for MASH TL-4 impact conditions. The reinforcing steel shown on the drawings in this report for the concrete decks associated with the median barrier designs are also acceptable for MASH TL-4 impact conditions. The drawings and details in Appendix A and the calculations in Appendix B provide additional information.

### Recommendations

Based on the results of the analyses performed for this project, the details shown for the 36-in. and 42-in. high median barriers presented herein are acceptable for MASH TL-4 impact conditions. It is therefore recommended that these barriers, as detailed herein, be used for MASH TL-4 impact conditions. The reinforcing steel shown on the drawings in this report for the concrete decks are also acceptable for MASH TL-4 impact conditions. For both the 36-in. and the 42-in. barriers planned for this project, the drawings and details in Appendix A provide additional information. A minimum barrier length of 40 ft. is recommended for the barriers analyzed for this project.

# Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols

Term	Description
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
FEA	Finite Element Analysis
ft.	foot (feet)
in.	inch(es)
DOTD	Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development
LRFD	Load and Resistance Factor Design
LTRC	Louisiana Transportation Research Center
lb.	Pound(s)
MASH	Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware
psi	Pound per square inch
SSTR	Single-Slope Traffic Rail
TL-4	Test Level 4
TTI	Texas A&M Transportation Institute
TxDOT	Texas Department of Transportation

# References

- AASHTO, Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) Bridge Design Specifications, Washington, DC: AASHTO, 2020.
- [2] AASHTO, Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware, Second Edition, Washington, DC: AASHTO, 2016.
- [3] S. Silvestri-Dobrovolny, N. Schulz, S. Moran, T. Skinner, R. Bligh and W. Willliams, NCHRP Report 20-07, Task 395: MASH Equivalency of NCHRP Report 350 Approved Bridge Railings, College Station, TX: Texas A&M Transportation Institute, 2017.

Appendix A — Drawings and Details









































Appendix B — Calculation Worksheets



SUBJECT: 36" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact Load from Outside Barrier (left side)

#### Overview:

These calcualtions evaluate the strength of the given barrier design for MASH TL-4 impact load condtions.

- 36" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact Load from the Barrier Left Side in the Section View below.

#### Design Details:



Section View (a)

1







Section View (b)



Elevation

2




Iso View

3



# (1) Define Inputs:

# (1a) General Inputs:

f' <sub>c</sub> :=4000 <i>psi</i>	Compressive strength cf concrete
$f_y := 60 \ ksi$	Yield strength reinforcing steel
$E_s \coloneqq 29000 \ ksi$	Modulus cf elasticity cf steel
$H_w \coloneqq 36 in$	Height of barrier
$T_{w.top} \coloneqq 10$ in	Top width cf barrier
$T_{w.bot} = 24 \ in$	Bottom width $\epsilon f$ barrier
$t_s := 11 \ in$	Depth of deck
$d_{\#4} \! := \! 0.5  in$ ; $A_{\#4} \! := \! 0.2  in^2$	Diameter and section area of #4 bar
$d_{\#5} = 0.625 \ \textit{in}$ ; $A_{\#5} = 0.31 \ \textit{in}^2$	Diameter and section area $cf$ #5 bar



Figure 1. Sketch of Concrete Wall/Parapet Showing Input Variable



# (1b) Concrete Barrier Inputs:

 $t_{b.cov} {\coloneqq} 1.5 ~\textit{in} \qquad \qquad \textit{Concrete clear cover of barrier}$ 

### Barrier vertical reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{vpl.mid} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical rein forcement leg at midspan
$S_{vp.mid} \coloneqq 12$ in	Spacing cf barrier vertical reinforcement at midspan
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.mid}} \! \coloneqq \! 15.25 \ \textit{in}$	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{vpl.end} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical reir.forcement leg at joints/ends
$S_{vp.end} = 6$ in	Spacing cf barrier vertical reinforcement at joints/ends
$d_{cp.end} \coloneqq 15.25$ in	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reinforcement at joints/ends

# Deck anchorage reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{val.mid} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reir.forcement leg at midspan
$S_{va.mid} = 6  in$	Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan
$d_{ca.mid}$ :=22.25 <i>in</i>	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir forcement at midspan
$A_{val.end} \coloneqq 0.2  in^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reir.forcement leg at joints/ends
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{va.end}} \! \coloneqq \! 6 \; \boldsymbol{in}$	Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends
d <sub>ca.end</sub> ≔22.25 <i>in</i>	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at joints/ends

# Barrier Longitudinal reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_w := 0.2 \cdot 5 \ in^2 = 1 \ in^2$	Area cf longitudinal reir.forcement bars in tension
d <sub>w</sub> ≔14.75 <i>in</i>	Average extreme distance cf tension longitudinal reir forcement



SUBJECT:	36" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
	Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
	Load from Outside Barrier (left side)

# (1c) Concrete Deck Inputs:

$t_{s.cov.bot} = 1.5$ in	Concrete clear cover cf deck at bottom
$d_{s.bot} \coloneqq 9.1875 \ in$	Extreme distance cf deck flexural reinforcement at bottom
$A_{s,bot} \coloneqq 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reinforcement at bottom (single bar)
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{s.bot}} \coloneqq 3  in$	Spacing cf deck flexural reir.forcement at bottom
$t_{s.cov.top}$ := 2.5 in	Concrete clear cover cf deck at top
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{s.top}} \! \coloneqq \! 8.1875 \; in$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s.top} \coloneqq 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reinforcement at top (single bar)
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{s.top}} \coloneqq 3  \boldsymbol{in}$	Spacing cf deck flexural reir.forcement at top

# (1d) Design Force Inputs:

# **Design Forces for Traffic Railings**

Test Level	Rail Height (in.)	F <sub>t</sub> (kip)	F <sub>L</sub> (kip)	F <sub>y</sub> (kip)	L/L (ft)	L <sub>v</sub> (ft)	H <sub>e</sub> (in)	H <sub>min</sub> (in)
TL-1	18 or above	13.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
TL-2	18 or above	27.0	9.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	20.0	18.0
TL-3	29 or above	71.0	18.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	19.0	29.0
TL-4 (a)	36	68.0	22.0	38.0	4.0	18.0	25.0	36.0
TL-4 (b)	between 36 and 42	80.0	27.0	22.0	5.0	18.0	30.0	36.0
TL-5 (a)	42	160.0	41.0	80.0	10.0	40.0	35.0	42.0
TL-5 (b)	greater than 42	262.0	75.0	160.0	10.0	40.0	43.0	42.0
TL 6		175.0	58.0	80.0	8.0	40.0	56.0	90.0

<u>References:</u> - TL-1 and TL-2 Design Forces are from AASHTO LRFD Section 13 Table A13.2-1 - TL-3 Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 20-07 Task 395 - TL-4 (a), TL-4 (b), TL-5 (a), and TL-5 (b) Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 22-20(2)

TL := 4	Test level
$F_t \coloneqq 68 \ \textit{kip}$	Transverse impact force
$\mathbf{L_t}\!\coloneqq\! 4 \; \boldsymbol{ft}$	Longitudinal length $cf$ distribution $cf$ impact force
$H_e \coloneqq 25$ in	Height cf equivalent transverses load



$H_{\min} = 36 \ in$	Minimum height cf a MASH IL-4 barrier
$H_w = 36$ <i>in</i>	Height cf concrete barrier
(2) Stability Criteria:	
$H_{\min} = 36$ in	Minimum height cf a MASH IL-4 barrier
$H_w = 36$ in	Height cf concrete barrier measured from the top cf the roadway surface/asphalt overlay
Minimum_Height_o	of_Barrier_Check := if $H_w \ge H_{min}$
	"OK"
	else
	"Not OK"

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

### (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification:

# (3a) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Midspan: Memid (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{c}} \coloneqq 12 \ \mathbf{in}$	Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section .	13 procedure)
--	---	---------------

$A_{vpl.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one parapet vertical reir.forcement l	leg at midspan
----------------------------	---	----------------

 $S_{vp.mid} = 12 in$ 

Spacing cf parapet vertical reir forcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{vp.mid}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{vp.mid}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{vpl.mid}} = 0.2 \ \boldsymbol{in}^{2}$$

Total area cf parapet vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reir forcement at midspan

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.mid}}\!=\!15.25~\textit{in}$ 

$$\mathbf{a}_{\rm cp.mid} \! \coloneqq \! \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\rm vp.mid} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm y}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\rm c}} \! = \! 0.294 \ \textit{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block



$$\mathbf{M}_{\rm cp,mid} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\rm vp,mid} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm y} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\rm cp,mid} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\rm cp,mid}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\rm c}} = 15.103 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the parapet vertical reinforcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.fi)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

$$b_c = 12$$
 in Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section 13 procedure)

 $A_{val.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$  Area of one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan

 $S_{va.mid} = 6$  in

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical rei1 forcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{va.mid}} \! \coloneqq \! \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{va.mid}}} \right) \! \cdot \! \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{val.mid}} \! = \! \mathbf{0.4} \, \textit{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{ca.mid}}\!=\!22.25~\textit{in}$ 

Extreme distance of tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c}} = 0.588 \ \boldsymbol{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.mid}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 43.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} \coloneqq \min \left( \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cp.mid}}, \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{ca.mid}} \right) = 15.103 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering the critical reinforcement



# (3b) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Joints/Ends: Mcend (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

 $A_{vpl.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$ 

Area  $\epsilon f$  one parapet vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{vp.end} = 6$  in

Spacing cf parapet vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{vp.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{vpl.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical reir forcement per unit length at midspan

 $d_{cp.end}\!=\!15.25~\textit{in}$ 

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reinforcement at joints/ends (at barrier mid-height)

$$a_{cp.end} := \frac{A_{vp.end} \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f'_c \cdot b_c} = 0.588 \ in$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{cp.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{cp.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 29.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

 $A_{val.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$ 

Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{va.end} = 6 in$ 

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{va.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{val.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical rein forcement per unit length at joints/ends

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{ca.end}}\!=\!22.25~\textit{in}$ 

Extreme distance of tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends



 $\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 0.588 \text{ in} \qquad Depth \ cf \ Whitney \ stress \ block$ 

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 43.912 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement specified in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$M_{c.end} := min(M_{cp.end}, M_{ca.end}) = 29.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at joints/ends when considering the critical reinforcement

# (3c) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Vertical Axis: Mw

$d_w \!=\! 14.75 \; in$	$E_{j}$ fective depth of longitudinal reinforcement bars		
$A_w = 1 \ in^2$	Area cf longitudinal reinforcement bars in tension		
$H_w = 36 in$	Extreme distance cf tension longitudinal reir.forcement cf barrier		

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{w}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{w}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}}}{\mathbf{0.85} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}} = 0.49 \text{ in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}} \coloneqq \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{w}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{w}}}{2} \right) = 72.525 \ \boldsymbol{kip} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the vertical axis spec fied in Article A 13.3.1



(3d) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Midspan: Rw.mid



Figure 2. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact within Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG).

$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{8 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}}}} = 12.92 \ \textit{ft} \qquad \text{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-2}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{c.mid}} = \left(2^{2}\right) \cdot \left(8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} + 8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}}\right)^2}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}} = 187.226 \ \textit{him}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{w.mid}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}} - \mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + 8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} \cdot (\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}})^{-}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{e}}} = 187.326 \ \textit{kip}$$

$$AASHTO \ Equation \ A13.3.1-1$$

# (3e) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Joints/Ends: Rw.cnd



Figure 3. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact near End of Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG)





$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}}}} = 5.358 \ \textit{ft} \qquad \textbf{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-4}$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{w.end}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} - \mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}}\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{e}}} = 153.847 \ \textit{kip}$$

AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-3

# (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification -Summary of Results:

H <sub>w</sub> =36 <i>in</i>	Height of the concrete barrier measured from the top of the roadway surface
$H_e = 25$ in	Height of the transverse impact force, Fi
${ m F_t}{=}68~kip$	Transverses impact force located at He
$R_{w.mid} = 187.326 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance cf the wall at midspan
$R_{w.end} = 153.847 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance of the wall at joints/ends

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check:= if R_{w,end} > F_t \\ & & & \\ &$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 



### (4) Punching Shear Capacity of the Barrier:

$\lambda \coloneqq 1.0$	Concrete weight modification factor
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{c}}\!\coloneqq\!12.53~\textit{in}$	Depth of shear zone at height of equivalent transverse load
$T_{w.top} \coloneqq 10$ in	Top width of barrier
$\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{c}} \coloneqq 11 \ \boldsymbol{in}$	Width cf shear zone
$L_t = 4 \; ft$	Distribution width cf transverse impact force
$f'_c = 4 \ ksi$	Concrete barrier compressive strength
T + d	

$$d_{f} := \frac{T_{w, top} + d_{c}}{2} = 11.265$$
 in Median depth of shear zone

$$b_{o.int} := (L_t + d_c) + 2 \cdot (h_c + \frac{d_c}{2}) = 95.06 \ in$$



Figure 4. Punching Shear Variables



 
 Median perimeter of interior critical section (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)
 Figure 5. Punching Shear at Interior Segment

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{c.int}} \coloneqq 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}'}{1000 \ \mathbf{psi}}} \cdot \mathbf{ksi} \right) \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{o.int}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{f}} = 267.713 \ \mathbf{kip}$$

Interior punching shear capacity (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)

$$\mathbf{b}_{\text{o.end}} \coloneqq \left(\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\text{c}}}{2}\right) + \left(\mathbf{h}_{\text{c}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\text{c}}}{2}\right) = 71.53 \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Median perimeter of exterior critical} \\ \text{section (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)} \end{array}$$

 $V_{c} := min(V_{c,int}, V_{c,end}) = 201.446$  kip

 $F_t = 68 \ kip$ 





Figure 6. Punching Shear at Exterior Segment

 $V_{c.end} := 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{f'_c}{1000 \ psi}} \cdot ksi \right) \cdot b_{o.end} \cdot d_f = 201.446 \ kip \qquad Exterior punching shear capacity (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)$ 

Critical punching shear capacity

Transverse impact force

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 



### (5) Deck Moment Capacity:

### (5a) Deck Moment Demand:

- The moment demand on the deck due to the TL-4 impact loading was determined using RISA-3D structral analysis.

- A solid model of the 36" barrier, as shown in Figure 5, was developed to determine the barrier loads to the deck. This model was generated with 6" wide elements in the longitudinal direction to represent the spacing of the barrier vertical reinforcements.

- The deck was modeled using meshed plate elements (4' x 50' with 6" x 6" plate elements). The reactions from the barrier to the deck were applied at the corresponding locations as shown in Figure 6.

\* Detailed discussion will be provided in the final report.



Figure 7. Barrier Solid Model



Figure 8. Deck Plate Model with Barrier Reactions



Deck moment demand from RISA 3-D analysis:



Max. moment demand at mid-span:  $M_{u.mid} = 14.2 \ kip \cdot ft$ 



# (5b) Deck Nominal Moment Capacity:

$b_{c.unit} = 12 \ in$	Unit width of deck
$t_s = 11 \ in$	Depth of deck
$d_{s.bot} = 9.188$ in	Extreme distance cf deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s,bot} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$S_{s.bot} = 3$ in	Spacing of deck flexural reinforcement at top



36" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
Load from Outside Barrier (left side)

$A_{s.unit} \coloneqq \frac{A_{s.bot}}{S_{s.bot}} \cdot b_{c.unit} = 1.24 \text{ in}^2$	
A f	

Unit area cf deck flexural reinforcement at end section

 $\mathbf{a}_{s} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{s.unit} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c.unit}} = 1.824 \ \mathbf{in} \qquad Depth \ cf \ Wh$ 

Depth of Whitney stress block at end section

 $M_{n.s} \coloneqq A_{s.unit} \cdot f_y \cdot \left( d_{s.bot} - 0.5 \cdot a_s \right) = 51.31 \ \textit{kip} \cdot \textit{ft} \qquad \textit{Nominal moment capacity of deck}$ 

# (5c) Deck Moment Capacity with Coincident Tensile Forces (AASHTO A 13.4.2):

- Check at critical location: end-section	
$L_{c.end} \!=\! 5.358 \; ft$	Distribution length of yield line mechanism
$R_{w.end} = 153.847 \ kip$	Nominal resistance cf barrier to transverse load
$H_w = 36$ in	Height of barrier
$\mathbf{T} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w.end}}}{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.end}} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}} = 13.546 \frac{kip}{ft}$	Coincident tensile force from vehicle impact (AASHTO Eq. A 13.4.21)
$T_a := A_{s.unit} \cdot f_y = 74.4 \ \textit{kip}$	Tensile load provided by deck flexural reinforcement
$\mathbf{C} \coloneqq \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{t} = 60.854 \ \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{p}$	Resultant compression force in deck section
$a_c := \frac{C}{0.85 \cdot f'_c \cdot b_c} = 1.492 \ in$	Depth of Whitney stress block for compression force
$\mathbf{M}_{n.t} := \mathbf{T}_{a} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{s.bot} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{c}}{2} \right) - \mathbf{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_{s.bot}}{2} \right)$	$\left(-\frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2}\right) = 47.995 \ \boldsymbol{kip} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft}$

Moment capacity of deck with coincident tensile force

 $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.deck}}\!\coloneqq\!\max\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.end}},\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.mid}}\right)\!=\!25.16~\textit{kip}\!\cdot\!\textit{ft}$ 

Maximum moment demand



 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check \coloneqq if \ M_{n.t} \! > \! M_{u.deck}$ else "Not OK"

 $Moment_Capacity_of_Deck_Check = "OK"$ 

### (6) Reinforcement Development Length:

 $d_{b.\#4} = 0.5 \ \textit{in}$  ;  $d_{b.\#5} = 0.625 \ \textit{in}$ 

Bar diameter

$$\mathbf{l}_{\mathrm{db},\#4} \coloneqq 2.4 \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{b},\#4} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{f}'_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi} \cdot ksi}} = 3 \ ft$$

Basic development length (AASHTO Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-2)

$$l_{db.\#5} := 2.4 \cdot d_{b.\#5} \cdot \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{f'_c \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi} \cdot ksi}} = 3.75 \ ft$$

 $\lambda = 1.0$ Concrete weight modification factor  $\lambda_{\rm rl} \coloneqq 1.0$ Reinforcement location factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b) Coating factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b)  $\lambda_{cf} \coloneqq 1.0$  $\lambda_{\rm er} \coloneqq 1.0$ Excess reir forcement factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)  $k_{tr} \coloneqq 0$ Transverse reir forcement index (conservatively taken as 0 as per AASHTO C5.10.8.2.1c)

 $c_{b.\#4} := 1.75 \ \textit{in}$  ;  $c_{b.\#5} := 1.8125 \ \textit{in}$ 

Smaller cf reir forcement edge distance and oneha.fcfspacing

$$\lambda_{\rm rc.\#4} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#4}}{c_{\rm b.\#4} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4 \quad ; \quad \lambda_{\rm rc.\#5} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#5}}{c_{\rm b.\#5} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4$$

Reinforcement confinement factor ,  $0.4 \le \lambda rc \le 1.0$  (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)



 $\label{eq:lock_Reinforcement_Development_Length_Check:= if $l_{anc} > l_{d,\#4} \| l_{s.top} > l_{d,\#5} \| \\ \| ``OK" \\ else \\ \| ``Not OK" \\ \end{array}$ 

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check = "OK"$ 

### (7) Analysis Results Overview:

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check="OK"$ 



# (8) Summary of Barrier Design:

- 1. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 6" O.C. for 10'-0" at Barrier End Section
- 2. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 12" O.C. out at Mid-Section (greater than 10'-0" from End Sections)
- 3. All other details as shown on Section and Elevation Views as provided herein for the 36" Barrier as analyzed herein are acceptable for MASH TL-4 Impact conditions.



### **Overview:**

These calcualtions evaluate the strength of the given barrier design for MASH TL-4 impact load condtions.

- 36" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact Load from the Barrier Right Side in the Section View below.

# Design Details:



Section View (a)







Section View (b)





2



SUBJECT:	36" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
	Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
	Load from Inside Barrier (right side)



3



36" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
Load from Inside Barrier (right side)

# (1) Define Inputs:

# (1a) General Inputs:

f' <sub>c</sub> :=4000 <b>psi</b>	Compressive strength cf concrete
$f_y \coloneqq 60 \ ksi$	Yield strength reir.forcing steel
$E_s := 29000 \ ksi$	Modulus cf elasticity cf steel
$H_w \coloneqq 36 \ in$	Height of barrier
$T_{w.top} \coloneqq 10$ in	Top width cf barrier
$T_{w.bot} = 24$ in	Bottom width $\epsilon f$ barrier
$t_s := 11 \ in$	Depth of deck
$d_{\#4} := 0.5  in$ ; $A_{\#4} := 0.2  in^2$	Diameter and section area cf #4 bar
$d_{\#5} = 0.625 \ in$ ; $A_{\#5} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Diameter and section area cf #5 bar



Figure 1. Sketch of Concrete Wall/Parapet Showing Input Variable



# (1b) Concrete Barrier Inputs:

 $t_{b.cov} {\coloneqq} 1.5 ~\textit{in} \qquad \qquad \textit{Concrete clear cover of barrier}$ 

### Barrier vertical reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{vpl.mid} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical rein forcement leg at midspan
$S_{vp.mid} \coloneqq 12$ in	Spacing cf barrier vertical reinforcement at midspan
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.mid}} \! \coloneqq \! 15.25 \ \textit{in}$	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{vpl.end} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical reir.forcement leg at joints/ends
$S_{vp.end} = 6$ in	Spacing cf barrier vertical reinforcement at joints/ends
$d_{cp.end} \coloneqq 15.25$ in	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reinforcement at joints/ends

# Deck anchorage reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{val.mid} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan
$S_{va.mid} = 6  in$	Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan
$d_{ca.mid}$ :=22.25 <i>in</i>	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{val.end} \! \coloneqq \! 0.2  \operatorname{\textit{in}}^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{va.end}} \! \coloneqq \! 6 \; \boldsymbol{in}$	Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends
d <sub>ca.end</sub> :=22.25 <i>in</i>	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at joints/ends

# Barrier Longitudinal reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_w := 0.2 \cdot 5 \ in^2 = 1 \ in^2$	Area cf longitudinal reir.forcement bars in tension
d <sub>w</sub> ≔14.75 <i>in</i>	Average extreme distance cf tension longitudinal reir forcement



SUBJECT:	36" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
	Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
	Load from Inside Barrier (right side)

# (1c) Concrete Deck Inputs:

$t_{s.cov.bot} = 1.5 $ <i>in</i>	Concrete clear cover cf deck at bottom
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{s.bot}} \coloneqq 9.1875 \ \boldsymbol{in}$	Extreme distance cf deck flexural reinforcement at bottom
$A_{s,bot} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reinforcement at bottom (single bar)
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{s.bot}} \coloneqq 3$ in	Spacing cf deck flexural reir.forcement at bottom
$t_{s.cov.top}$ := 2.5 in	Concrete clear cover cf deck at top
$d_{s.top} := 8.1875 \ in$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s.top} \coloneqq 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reinforcement at top (single bar)
$S_{s.top} \coloneqq 3$ <i>in</i>	Spacing of deck flexural reinforcement at top

# (1d) Design Force Inputs:

# **Design Forces for Traffic Railings**

Test Level	Rail Height (in.)	F <sub>t</sub> (kip)	F <sub>L</sub> (kip)	F <sub>y</sub> (kip)	L/L (ft)	L <sub>v</sub> (ft)	H <sub>e</sub> (in)	H <sub>min</sub> (in)
TL-1	18 or above	13.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
TL-2	18 or above	27.0	9.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	20.0	18.0
TL-3	29 or above	71.0	18.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	19.0	29.0
TL-4 (a)	36	68.0	22.0	38.0	4.0	18.0	25.0	36.0
TL-4 (b)	between 36 and 42	80.0	27.0	22.0	5.0	18.0	30.0	36.0
TL-5 (a)	42	160.0	41.0	80.0	10.0	40.0	35.0	42.0
TL-5 (b)	greater than 42	262.0	75.0	160.0	10.0	40.0	43.0	42.0
TL 6		175.0	58.0	80.0	8.0	40.0	56.0	90.0

<u>References:</u> - TL-1 and TL-2 Design Forces are from AASHTO LRFD Section 13 Table A13.2-1 - TL-3 Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 20-07 Task 395 - TL-4 (a), TL-4 (b), TL-5 (a), and TL-5 (b) Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 22-20(2)

TL := 4	Test level
$F_t \coloneqq 68 \ \textit{kip}$	Transverse impact force
$\mathbf{L_t}\!\coloneqq\! 4\; \boldsymbol{ft}$	Longitudinal length $\epsilon f$ distribution $\epsilon f$ impact force
$H_e := 25$ in	Height cf equivalent transverses load



$H_{\min} \coloneqq 36$ in	Minimum height cf a MASH 1L-4 barrier
$H_w = 36$ in	Height of concrete barrier
(2) Stability Criteria:	
$H_{\min} = 36$ in	Minimum height cf a MASH IL-4 barrier
$H_w = 36$ in	Height of concrete barrier measured from the top of the roadway sunface/asphalt overlay
Minimum_Height_o	$f_Barrier_Check \coloneqq if H_w \ge H_{min}$
	else
	"Not OK"

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

# (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification:

# (3a) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Midspan: Memid (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{c}} \coloneqq 12 \ \mathbf{in}$	Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section .	13 procedure)
--	---	---------------

$A_{vpl.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one parapet vertical reir.forcement l	leg at midspan
----------------------------	---	----------------

 $S_{vp.mid} = 12 in$ 

Spacing cf parapet vertical reir forcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{vp.mid}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{vp.mid}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{vpl.mid}} = 0.2 \ \boldsymbol{in}^{2}$$

Total area cf parapet vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reir forcement at midspan

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.mid}}\!=\!15.25~\textit{in}$ 

$$a_{cp.mid}\! :=\! \frac{A_{vp.mid}\! \cdot\! f_y}{0.85\! \cdot\! f_c'\! \cdot\! b_c} \!=\! 0.294 ~\textit{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block



$$\mathbf{M}_{\rm cp,mid} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\rm vp,mid} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm y} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\rm cp,mid} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\rm cp,mid}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\rm c}} = 15.103 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the parapet vertical reinforcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.fi)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

$$b_c = 12$$
 in Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section 13 procedure)

 $A_{val.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$  Area of one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan

 $S_{va.mid} = 6$  in

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reirforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{va.mid}} \! \coloneqq \! \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{va.mid}}} \right) \! \cdot \! \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{val.mid}} \! = \! \mathbf{0.4} \, \textit{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{ca.mid}}\!=\!22.25~\textit{in}$ 

Extreme distance of tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c}} = 0.588 \ \boldsymbol{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.mid}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 43.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} \coloneqq \min \left( \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cp.mid}}, \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{ca.mid}} \right) = 15.103 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering the critical reinforcement



# (3b) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Joints/Ends: Mcend (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

 $A_{vpl.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$ 

Area  $\epsilon f$  one parapet vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{vp.end} = 6$  in

Spacing cf parapet vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{vp.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{vpl.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical reir forcement per unit length at midspan

 $d_{cp.end}\!=\!15.25~\textit{in}$ 

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reinforcement at joints/ends (at barrier mid-height)

$$a_{cp.end} := \frac{A_{vp.end} \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f'_c \cdot b_c} = 0.588 \ in$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cp.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{vp.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{cp.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}} = 29.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec\_fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

 $A_{val.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$ 

Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{va.end} = 6 in$ 

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{va.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{val.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical rein forcement per unit length at joints/ends

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{ca.end}}\!=\!22.25~\textit{in}$ 

Extreme distance of tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends



 $\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c}} = 0.588 \text{ in} \qquad Depth \ cf \ Whitney \ stress \ block$ 

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 43.912 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement specified in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}} \coloneqq \min \left( \mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.end}}, \mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.end}} \right) = 29.912 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at joints/ends when considering the critical reinforcement

# (3c) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Vertical Axis: Mw

$d_w \!=\! 14.75 \; in$	$E_j$ fective depth of longitudinal reinforcement bars		
$A_w = 1 \ in^2$	Area cf longitudinal reinforcement bars in tension		
$H_w = 36 in$	Extreme distance cf tension longitudinal reir.forcement cf barrier		

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{w}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{w}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}} = 0.49 \ in$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}} \coloneqq \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{w}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{w}}}{2} \right) = 72.525 \ kip \cdot ft$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the vertical axis spec fied in Article A 13.3.1



(3d) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Midspan: Rw.mid



Figure 2. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact within Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG).

$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{8 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}}}} = 12.92 \ \textit{ft} \qquad \textit{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-2}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{c.mid}} = \left(2^{-1}\right) \cdot \left(8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} + 8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}}\right)^2}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} = 187.226 \ \textit{him}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{w.mid}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}} - \mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + 8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}} + \frac{2 \cdot \text{mid} \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}}\right)}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{e}}} = 187.326 \ \textit{kip}$$

$$AASHTO \ Equation \ A13.3.1-1$$

# (3e) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Joints/Ends: Rw.cnd



Figure 3. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact near End of Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG)





$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}}}} = 5.358 \ \textit{ft} \qquad \textbf{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-4}$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{w.end}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} - \mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}}\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{e}}} = 153.847 \ \textit{kip}$$

AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-3

# (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification -Summary of Results:

H <sub>w</sub> =36 <i>in</i>	Height of the concrete barrier measured from the top of the roadway surface
$H_e = 25$ in	Height of the transverse impact force, Fi
${ m F_t}{=}68~kip$	Transverses impact force located at He
$R_{w.mid} = 187.326 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance cf the wall at midspan
$R_{w.end} = 153.847 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance of the wall at joints/ends

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check:= if R_{w,end} > F_t \\ & & & \\ &$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 



### (4) Punching Shear Capacity of the Barrier:

$\lambda \coloneqq 1.0$	Concrete weight modification factor
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{c}}\!\coloneqq\!12.53~\textit{in}$	Depth of shear zone at height of equivalent transverse load
$T_{w.top} \coloneqq 10$ in	Top width of barrier
$\mathbf{h}_{c} \coloneqq 11 \ \boldsymbol{in}$	Width cf shear zone
$L_t = 4 \; ft$	Distribution width cf transverse impact force
$f'_c = 4 \ ksi$	Concrete barrier compressive strength
$T \rightarrow d$	

$$d_{f} := \frac{T_{w, top} + d_{c}}{2} = 11.265$$
 in Median depth of shear zone

$$b_{o.int} := (L_t + d_c) + 2 \cdot (h_c + \frac{d_c}{2}) = 95.06 \ in$$



Figure 4. Punching Shear Variables



 
 Median perimeter of interior critical section (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)
 Figure 5. Punching Shear at Interior Segment

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{c.int}} \coloneqq 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}'}{1000 \ psi}} \cdot \mathbf{ksi} \right) \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{o.int}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{f}} = 267.713 \ \mathbf{kip}$$

Interior punching shear capacity (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)

$$\mathbf{b}_{\text{o.end}} \coloneqq \left( \mathbf{L}_{\text{t}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\text{c}}}{2} \right) + \left( \mathbf{h}_{\text{c}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\text{c}}}{2} \right) = 71.53 \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Median perimeter of exterior critical} \\ \text{section (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)} \end{array}$$

 $V_{c} := min(V_{c,int}, V_{c,end}) = 201.446$  kip

 $F_t = 68 \ kip$ 



Figure 6. Punching Shear at Exterior Segment

d<sub>c</sub>/2 He

 $V_{c.end} := 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{f'_c}{1000 \ psi}} \cdot ksi \right) \cdot b_{o.end} \cdot d_f = 201.446 \ kip \qquad Exterior punching shear capacity (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)$ 

Critical punching shear capacity

Transverse impact force

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 



### (5) Deck Moment Capacity:

### (5a) Deck Moment Demand:

- The moment demand on the deck due to the TL-4 impact loading was determined using RISA-3D structral analysis.

- A solid model of the 36" barrier, as shown in Figure 5, was developed to determine the barrier loads to the deck. This model was generated with 6" wide elements in the longitudinal direction to represent the spacing of the barrier vertical reinforcements.

- The deck was modeled using meshed plate elements (4' x 50' with 6" x 6" plate elements). The reactions from the barrier to the deck were applied at the corresponding locations as shown in Figure 6.

\* Detailed discussion will be provided in the final report.



Figure 7. Barrier Solid Model



Figure 8. Deck Plate Model with Barrier Reactions



Deck moment demand from RISA 3-D analysis:



Max. moment demand at mid-span:  $M_{u.mid} = 16.7 \ kip \cdot ft$ 



# (5b) Deck Nominal Moment Capacity:

$b_{c.unit} \coloneqq 12 \ in$	Unit width of deck
$t_s = 11$ in	Depth of deck
$d_{s.top} = 8.188$ in	Extreme distance cf deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s.top} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reinforcement at top
$S_{s,top} = 3$ in	Spacing of deck flexural reinforcement at top



SUBJECT:	36" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
	Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
	Load from Inside Barrier (right side)

$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s.unit}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s.top}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{s.top}}} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c.unit}} = 1.24 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$
$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{s}} \! \coloneqq \! \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s.unit}} \! \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}}}{0.85 \! \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}' \! \cdot \! \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c.unit}}} \! = \! 1.824 \; \textit{in}$

Unit area cf deck flexural reinforcement at end section

= 1.824 *in* Depth of Whitney stress block at end section

 $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{n.s}} \coloneqq \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s.unit}} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \left( \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{s.top}} - \mathbf{0.5} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{s}} \right) = 45.11 \ \textit{kip} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \textit{ft} \qquad \textit{Nominal moment capacity of deck}$ 

# (5c) Deck Moment Capacity with Coincident Tensile Forces (AASHTO A 13.4.2):

- Check at critical location: end-section	
$L_{c.end} \!=\! 5.358 \; ft$	Distribution length cf yield line mechanism
$R_{w.end} = 153.847 \ kip$	Nominal resistance cf barrier to transverse load
$H_w = 36$ in	Height cf barrier
$\mathbf{T} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w.end}}}{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.end}} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}} = 13.546 \frac{kip}{ft}$	Coincident tensile force from vehicle impact (AASHTO Eq. A 13.4.21)
$T_a := A_{s.unit} \cdot f_y = 74.4 \ \textit{kip}$	Tensile load provided by deck flexural reinforcement
$\mathbf{C} \coloneqq \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{t} = 60.854 \ \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{p}$	Resultant compression force in deck section
$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{c}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}} = 1.492 \ \textit{in}$	Depth of Whitney stress block for compression force
$\mathbf{M}_{n.t} \coloneqq \mathbf{T}_{a} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{s.top} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{c}}{2} \right) - \mathbf{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_{s.top}}{2} \right)$	$\left(-\frac{\mathbf{a}_{c}}{2}\right) = 42.36 \ kip \cdot ft$

Moment capacity cf deck with coincident tensile force

 $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.deck}} \! \coloneqq \! \max \left( \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.end}}, \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.mid}} \right) \! = \! 28.2 \; \textit{kip} \cdot \textit{ft}$ 

Maximum moment demand



 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check \coloneqq if \ M_{n.t} \! > \! M_{u.deck} \! \Bigr|$ else "Not OK"

 $Moment_Capacity_of_Deck_Check = "OK"$ 

### (6) Reinforcement Development Length:

 $d_{b.\#4} = 0.5 \ \textit{in}$  ;  $d_{b.\#5} = 0.625 \ \textit{in}$ 

Bar diameter

$$\mathbf{l}_{\mathrm{db},\#4} \coloneqq 2.4 \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{b},\#4} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{f}'_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi}} \cdot ksi} = 3 \ ft$$

Basic development length (AASHTO Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-2)

$$l_{db,\#5} := 2.4 \cdot d_{b,\#5} \cdot \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{f'_c \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi} \cdot ksi}} = 3.75 \ ft$$

 $\lambda = 1.0$ Concrete weight modification factor  $\lambda_{\rm rl} \coloneqq 1.0$ Reinforcement location factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b) Coating factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b)  $\lambda_{cf} \coloneqq 1.0$  $\lambda_{\rm er} \coloneqq 1.0$ Excess reir forcement factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)  $\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{tr}}\!\coloneqq\!\mathbf{0}$ Transverse reir forcement index (conservatively taken as 0 as per AASHTO C5.10.8.2.1c)

 $c_{b.\#4} := 1.75 \ \textit{in}$  ;  $c_{b.\#5} := 1.8125 \ \textit{in}$ 

Smaller cf reir forcement edge distance and oneha.fcfspacing

$$\lambda_{\rm rc.\#4} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#4}}{c_{\rm b.\#4} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4 \quad ; \quad \lambda_{\rm rc.\#5} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#5}}{c_{\rm b.\#5} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4$$

Reinforcement confinement factor ,  $0.4 \le \lambda rc \le 1.0$  (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)



 $\label{eq:lock_Reinforcement_Development_Length_Check:= if $l_{anc} > l_{d.\#4} \\ \| l_{s.top} > l_{d.\#5} \| \\ \| "OK" \\ else \\ \| "Not OK" \\ \end{array}$ 

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check = "OK"$ 

### (7) Analysis Results Overview:

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check="OK"$ 



# (8) Summary of Barrier Design:

- 1. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 6" O.C. for 10'-0" at Barrier End Section
- 2. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 12" O.C. out at Mid-Section (greater than 10'-0" from End Sections)
- 3. All other details as shown on Section and Elevation Views as provided herein for the 36" Barrier as analyzed herein are acceptable for MASH TL-4 Impact conditions.


## **Overview:**

These calcualtions evaluate the strength of the given barrier design for MASH TL-4 impact load condtions.

- 36" LADOTD Median Barrier

## Design Details:







Section View (b)









Iso View



# (1) Define Inputs:

# (1a) General Inputs:

$f'_c \coloneqq 4000 \ psi$	Compressive strength cf concrete
$f_y := 60 \ ksi$	Yield strength reinforcing steel
$E_s := 29000 \ ksi$	Modulus of elasticity of steel
$H_w \coloneqq 36 \ in$	Height cf barrier
$T_{w.top} \coloneqq 10 \ in$	Top width cf barrier
$T_{w,bot} = 24 \ in$	Bottom width of barrier
$\mathbf{t}_{s}\!\coloneqq\!8.5~\boldsymbol{in}$	Depth of deck
$d_{\#4} := 0.5 \ \textit{in}$ ; $A_{\#4} := 0.2 \ \textit{in}^2$	Diameter and section area cf #4 bar
$d_{\#5} := 0.625 \; in$ ; $A_{\#5} := 0.31 \; in^2$	Diameter and section area cf #5 bar



Figure 1. Sketch of Concrete Wall/Parapet Showing Input Variable



# (1b) Concrete Barrier Inputs:

 $t_{b,cov} \coloneqq 1.5 \ \textit{in}$  Concrete clear cover of barrier

## Barrier vertical reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{vpl.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical reinforcement leg at midspan
$S_{vp.mid} \coloneqq 12$ in	Spacing cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at midspan
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.mid}} \! \coloneqq \! 15.25 \ \textit{in}$	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{vpl.end} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical reir.forcement leg at joints/ends
$S_{vp.end} = 6 in$	Spacing cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.end}} \! \coloneqq \! 15.25 \ \textit{in}$	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reinforcement at joints/ends

# Deck anchorage reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{val.mid} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan
$S_{va.mid} = 6  in$	Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan
$d_{ca.mid}$ :=22.25 <i>in</i>	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{val.end} \! \coloneqq \! 0.2  \operatorname{\textit{in}}^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends
$S_{va.end} = 6  in$	Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends
d <sub>ca.end</sub> :=22.25 <i>in</i>	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at joints/ends

# Barrier Longitudinal reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_w := 0.2 \cdot 5 \ in^2 = 1 \ in^2$	Area cf longitudinal reir.forcement bars in tension
d <sub>w</sub> ≔14.75 <i>in</i>	Average extreme distance cf tension longitudinal reir forcement



## (1c) Concrete Deck Inputs:

$t_{s.cov.bot} \coloneqq 1.5$ in	Concrete clear cover cf deck at bottom
$d_{s.bot} = 6.6875 \ in$	Extreme distance cf deck flexural reir forcement at bottom
$A_{s,bot} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area of deck flexural reinforcement at bottom (single bar,
$S_{s.bot} = 6 in$	Spacing cf deck flexural reinforcement at bottom
$t_{s.cov.top} \coloneqq 2.5 in$	Concrete clear cover of deck at top
$d_{s.top} := 5.875 \ in$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s.top} \coloneqq 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area of deck flexural reinforcement at top (single bar)
$S_{s.top} \coloneqq 6$ in	Spacing of deck flexural reinforcement at top

## (1d) Design Force Inputs:

#### **Design Forces for Traffic Railings**

Test Level	Rail Height (in.)	F <sub>t</sub> (kip)	F <sub>L</sub> (kip)	F <sub>y</sub> (kip)	L/L (ft)	L <sub>v</sub> (ft)	H <sub>e</sub> (in)	H <sub>min</sub> (in)
TL-1	18 or above	13.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
TL-2	18 or above	27.0	9.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	20.0	18.0
TL-3	29 or above	71.0	18.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	19.0	29.0
TL-4 (a)	36	68.0	22.0	38.0	4.0	18.0	25.0	36.0
TL-4 (b)	between 36 and 42	80.0	27.0	22.0	5.0	18.0	30.0	36.0
TL-5 (a)	42	160.0	41.0	80.0	10.0	40.0	35.0	42.0
TL-5 (b)	greater than 42	262.0	75.0	160.0	10.0	40.0	43.0	42.0
TL 6		175.0	58.0	80.0	8.0	40.0	56.0	90.0

<u>References:</u> - TL-1 and TL-2 Design Forces are from AASHTO LRFD Section 13 Table A13.2-1 - TL-3 Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 20-07 Task 395 - TL-4 (a), TL-4 (b), TL-5 (a), and TL-5 (b) Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 22-20(2)

TL := 4	Test level
$F_t \coloneqq 68 \ \textit{kip}$	Transverse impact force
$\mathbf{L_t}\!\coloneqq\! 4 \; \boldsymbol{ft}$	Longitudinal length $cf$ distribution $cf$ impact force
$H_e \coloneqq 25$ in	Height cf equivalent transverses load



$H_{\min} \coloneqq 36$ in	Minimum height cf a MASH IL-4 barrier
$H_w = 36$ <i>in</i>	Height of concrete barrier
(2) Stability Criteria:	
$H_{\min} = 36 \ in$	Minimum height cf a MASH 1L-4 barrier
$H_w = 36$ in	Height of concrete barrier measured from the top of the roadway surface/asphalt overlay
Minimum_Height_	of_Barrier_Check := if $H_w \ge H_{min}$
	"OK"
	else
	"Not OK"

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

#### (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification:

# (3a) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Midspan: Memid (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{c}} \coloneqq 12 \ \mathbf{in}$	Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section .	13 procedure)
--	---	---------------

$A_{ m vpl.mid} = 0.2  in^2$	Area cf one parapet vertical reinforcement	leg at midspan
------------------------------	--	----------------

 $S_{vp.mid} = 12$  in

Spacing cf parapet vertical reir forcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{vp.mid}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{vp.mid}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{vpl.mid}} = 0.2 \ \boldsymbol{in}^{2}$$

Total area of parapet vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan Average extreme distance of parapet vertical reinforcement at midspan

 $d_{cp.mid}\!=\!15.25~\textit{in}$ 

$$\mathbf{a}_{\rm cp.mid} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\rm vp.mid} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm y}}{\mathbf{0.85} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\rm c}} = 0.294 \ \boldsymbol{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block



$$\mathbf{M}_{\rm cp.mid} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\rm vp.mid} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm y} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\rm cp.mid} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\rm cp.mid}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\rm c}} = 15.103 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the parapet vertical reir forcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.fi)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

$$b_c = 12$$
 in Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section 13 procedure)

 $A_{val.mid} = 0.2 \, in^2$  Area of one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan

 $S_{va.mid} = 6$  in

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reir forcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{va.mid}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{va.mid}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{val.mid}} \!=\! 0.4 \, \textit{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

$$d_{ca,mid} = 22.25 \ in$$

Extreme distance of tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c}} = 0.588 \ \boldsymbol{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.mid}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{c}} = 43.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} \coloneqq \min \left( \mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.mid}}, \mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.mid}} \right) = 15.103 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering the critical reinforcement



## (3b) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Joints/Ends: Mcend (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

 $A_{vpl.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$ 

Area cf one parapet vertical reir forcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{vp.end} = 6 in$ 

Spacing cf parapet vertical reinforcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{vp.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{vpl.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area of deck anchorage vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

 $d_{cp.end}\!=\!15.25$  in

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reinforcement at joints/ends (at barrier mid-height)

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{cp.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 0.588 \text{ in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{cp.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{cp.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 29.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec\_fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

 $A_{val.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$ 

Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{va.end} = 6 in$ 

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{va.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{val.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical rein forcement per unit length at joints/ends

 $d_{ca.end}\!=\!22.25~\textit{in}$ 

Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends



$$a_{ca.end} := \frac{A_{va.end} \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c' \cdot b_c} = 0.588$$
 in

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 43.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c.end}} \! \coloneqq \! \min\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cp.end}}, \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{ca.end}}\right) \! = \! 29.912 \; \frac{kip \! \cdot \! ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at joints/ends when considering the critical reir.forcement

## (3c) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Vertical Axis: Mw

$d_w \!=\! 14.75 \; in$	$E_{j}$ fective depth of longitudinal reinforcement bars
$A_w = 1 \ in^2$	Area cf longitudinal reinforcement bars in tension
$H_w = 36 in$	Extreme distance cf tension longitudinal reir.forcement cf barrier

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{w}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{w}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}} = 0.49 \ \boldsymbol{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}} \coloneqq \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{w}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{w}}}{2} \right) = 72.525 \ kip \cdot ft$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the vertical axis specified in Article A 13.3.1



(3d) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Midspan: Rw.mid



Figure 2. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact within Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTC).

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{t}}}{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{8 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c.mid}}}} = 12.92 \ ft \qquad \text{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-2}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} = \left(2^{2} + \frac{2^{2}}{2}\right) \left(2^{2} + \frac{2^{2} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.mid}}\right)^{2}}\right) \ \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}} = 12.92 \ \text{ft} = 12.92 \ \text{ft}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w,mid}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c,mid}} - \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{B}} + 8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c,mid}} \cdot (\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c,mid}})^{2}}{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{e}}} = 187.326 \ \textit{kip}$$

$$AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-1$$

## (3e) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Joints/Ends: Rw.cnd



Figure 3. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact near End of Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG)





$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} := \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}}}} = 5.358 \ \textit{ft} \qquad \textit{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-4}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{w.end}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} - \mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}}\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{e}}} = 153.847 \ \textit{kip}$$

AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-3

## (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification -Summary of Results:

H <sub>w</sub> =36 <i>in</i>	Height of the concrete barrier measured from the top of the roadway surface
$H_e = 25 in$	Height of the transverse impact force, Ft
$F_t = 68 \ kip$	Transverses impact force located at He
$R_{w.mid} = 187.326 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance of the wall at midspan
$R_{w.end} = 153.847 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance cf the wall at joints/ends

 $\begin{array}{l} Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check \coloneqq if \ R_{w.mid} \! > \! F_t \\ & \parallel "OK" \\ & else \\ & \parallel "Not \ OK" \end{array}$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 



#### (4) Punching Shear Capacity of the Barrier:

$\lambda := 1.0$	Concrete weight modification factor
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{c}}\!\coloneqq\!12.53~\textit{in}$	Depth cf shear zone at height cf equivalent transverse load
$T_{w.top} \coloneqq 10$ in	Top width cf barrier
$\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{c}} \coloneqq 11 \ \boldsymbol{in}$	Width cf shear zone
$L_t = 4 \; ft$	Distribution width cf transverse impact force
f'_=4 <b>ksi</b>	Concrete barrier compressive strength

$$d_{f} = \frac{T_{w,top} + d_{c}}{2} = 11.265 \ in$$
 Median depth of shear zone

$$b_{o.int} := \langle L_t + d_c \rangle + 2 \cdot \left( h_c + \frac{d_c}{2} \right) = 95.06 \ in$$



Figure 4. Punching She Variables



Median perimeter of interior criticalFiguresection (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)Inter

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{c.int}} \coloneqq 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}'}{1000 \ psi}} \cdot \mathbf{ksi} \right) \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{o.int}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{f}} = 267.713 \ \mathbf{kip}$$

Interior punching shear capacity (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)

$$\mathbf{b}_{\text{o.end}} \coloneqq \left( \mathbf{L}_{\text{t}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\text{c}}}{2} \right) + \left( \mathbf{h}_{\text{c}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\text{c}}}{2} \right) = 71.53 \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Median perimeter of exterior critical} \\ \text{section (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)} \end{array}$$

 $V_{c} := min(V_{c,int}, V_{c,end}) = 201.446$  kip

 $F_t = 68 \ kip$ 



Figure 6. Punching Shear at Exterior Segment

 $V_{c.end} \coloneqq 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{f'_c}{1000 \text{ psi}}} \cdot \text{ksi} \right) \cdot b_{o.end} \cdot d_f = 201.446 \text{ kip} \quad \text{Exterior punching shear capacity} \\ (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)$ 

Critical punching shear capacity

Transverse impact force

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 



## (5) Deck Moment Capacity:

#### (5a) Deck Moment Demand:

- The moment demand on the deck due to the TL-4 impact loading was determined using RISA-3D structral analysis.

- A solid model of the 36" barrier, as shown in Figure 5, was developed to determine the barrier loads to the deck. This model was generated with 6" wide elements in the longitudinal direction to represent the spacing of the barrier vertical reinforcements.

- The deck was modeled using meshed plate elements (8' x 50' with 6" x 6" plate elements). The reactions from the barrier to the deck were applied at the corresponding locations as shown in Figure 6.

\* Detailed discussion will be provided in the final report.



Figure 7. Barrier Solid Model



Figure 8. Deck Plate Model with Barrier Reactions



Deck moment demand from RISA 3-D analysis:



Max. moment demand at mid-span:  $M_{u.mid} = 7.93 \ kip \cdot ft$ 



## (5b) Deck Nominal Moment Capacity:

$\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c.unit}} \coloneqq 12   \boldsymbol{in}$	Unit width cf deck
$t_s \!=\! 8.5~in$	Depth of deck
$d_{s.bot} = 6.688 \ in$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s,bot} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reir.forcement at top
$S_{s,bot} = 6 in$	Spacing of deck flexural reinforcement at top



$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{s.unit}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{s.bot}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{s.bot}}} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\text{c.unit}} = 0.62 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

 $\mathbf{a}_{s} \! \coloneqq \! \frac{\mathbf{A}_{s.unit} \! \cdot \! \mathbf{f}_{y}}{0.85 \! \cdot \! \mathbf{f}_{c}' \! \cdot \! \mathbf{b}_{c.unit}} \! = \! 0.912 \; \textit{in}$ 

Depth of Whitney stress block at end section

Unit area cf deck flexural reinforcement at end section

 $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{n.s}} \coloneqq \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s.unit}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{s.bot}} - 0.5 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{s}} \right) = 19.318 \ \textit{kip} \cdot \textit{ft} \qquad \textit{Nominal moment capacity of deck}$ 

## (5c) Deck Moment Capacity with Coincident Tensile Forces (AASHTO A 13.4.2):

- Check at critical location: end-section	
$\rm L_{c.end}\!=\!5.358~\textit{ft}$	Distribution length cf yield line mechanism
$R_{w.end} = 153.847 \ kip$	Nominal resistance cf barrier to transverse load
$H_w = 36$ in	Height of barrier
$\mathbf{T} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w.end}}}{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.end}} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}} = 13.546 \ \frac{kip}{ft}$	Coincident tensile force from vehicle impact (AASHTO Eq. A 13.4.21)
$T_a \! \coloneqq \! A_{s.unit} \! \cdot \! f_y \! = \! 37.2 \ \textit{kip}$	Tensile load provided by deck flexural reinforcement
$\mathbf{C} \coloneqq \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{t} = 23.654 \ \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{p}$	Resultant compression force in deck section
$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{c}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}} = 0.58 \ \boldsymbol{in}$	Depth of Whitney stress block for compression force
$\mathbf{M}_{n.t} \coloneqq \mathbf{T}_{a} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{s.bot} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{c}}{2} \right) - \mathbf{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_{s.bot}}{2} \right)$	$\left(-\frac{\mathbf{a}_{c}}{2}\right) = 16.385 \ \boldsymbol{kip} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft}$

Moment capacity of deck with coincident tensile force

 $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.deck}} \! \coloneqq \! \max \left( \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.end}}, \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.mid}} \right) \! = \! 12.2 \; \textit{kip} \cdot \textit{ft}$ 

Maximum moment demand



 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check \coloneqq if \ M_{n.t} \! > \! M_{u.deck} \Bigr|$ else "Not OK"

 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check="OK"$ 

# (6) Reinforcement Development Length:

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{b}.\#4}\!\coloneqq\!0.5~\textit{in}~~;~~\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{b}.\#5}\!\coloneqq\!0.625~\textit{in}$ 

Bar diameter

$$l_{\rm db,\#4} := 2.4 \cdot d_{\rm b,\#4} \cdot \frac{f_{\rm y}}{\sqrt{f_{\rm c}' \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi}} \cdot ksi} = 3 \ ft$$

Basic development length (AASHTO Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-2)

$$l_{db.\#5} := 2.4 \cdot d_{b.\#5} \cdot \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{f'_c \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi} \cdot ksi}} = 3.75 \ ft$$

 $\lambda = 1.0$ Concrete weight modification factor  $\lambda_{\rm rl} \coloneqq 1.0$ Reinforcement location factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b) Coating factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b)  $\lambda_{cf} \coloneqq 1.0$  $\lambda_{\rm er} \coloneqq 1.0$ Excess reir forcement factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)  $k_{tr} \coloneqq 0$ Transverse reir forcement index (conservatively taken as 0 as per AASHTO C5.10.8.2.1c)

 $c_{b.\#4} := 1.75 \ \textit{in}$  ;  $c_{b.\#5} := 1.8125 \ \textit{in}$ 

Smaller cf reir forcement edge distance and oneha.fcfspacing

$$\lambda_{\rm rc.\#4} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#4}}{c_{\rm b.\#4} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4 \quad ; \quad \lambda_{\rm rc.\#5} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#5}}{c_{\rm b.\#5} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4$$

Reinforcement confinement factor ,  $0.4 \le \lambda rc \le 1.0$  (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)





Development lengths needed (mod.fied tension development length, AASHTO Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-1)



Figure 9. Reir forcement Development Length

 $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ 

$$\label{eq:lock_Reinforcement_Development_Length_Check} \begin{split} \text{Deck_Reinforcement_Development_Length_Check} &\coloneqq \text{if } l_{acc} > l_{d.\#4} \left\| l_{s.top} > l_{d.\#5} \right\| \\ & \left\| \text{``OK''} \right\| \\ & \text{else} \\ & \left\| \text{``Not OK''} \right\| \end{split}$$

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check = "OK"$ 

## (7) Analysis Results Overview:

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check="OK"$ 



# (8) Summary of Barrier Design:

- 1. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 6" O.C. for 10'-0" at Barrier End Section
- 2. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 12" O.C. out at Mid-Section (greater than 10'-0" from End Sections)
- 3. All other details as shown on Section and Elevation Views as provided herein for the 36" Barrier as analyzed herein are acceptable for MASH TL-4 Impact conditions.



#### Overview:

These calcualtions evaluate the strength of the given barrier design for MASH TL-4 impact load condtions.

- 42" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact Load from the Barrier Left Side in the Section View below.

# Design Details:



Section View (a)







Section View (b)



Elevation





Iso View



# (1) Define Inputs:

## (1a) General Inputs:

f' <sub>c</sub> :=4000 <i>psi</i>	Compressive strength cf concrete
$f_y := 60 \ ksi$	Yield strength reinforcing steel
$E_s \coloneqq 29000 \ ksi$	Modulus cf elasticity cf steel
$H_w \coloneqq 42 \ in$	Height cf barrier
$T_{w.top} \coloneqq 7.625 \ in$	Top width cf barrier
$T_{w.bot} = 24$ in	Bottom width of barrier
$t_s := 11 \ in$	Depth of deck
$d_{\#4} := 0.5 \ \textit{in}$ ; $A_{\#4} := 0.2 \ \textit{in}^2$	Diameter and section area cf #4 bar
$d_{\#5} = 0.625 \ in$ ; $A_{\#5} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Diameter and section area $cf$ #5 bar



Figure 1. Sketch of Concrete Wall/Parapet Showing Input Variable



## (1b) Concrete Barrier Inputs:

 $t_{b.cov} \coloneqq 1.5 \ \textit{in}$  Concrete clear cover of barrier

## Barrier vertical reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{vpl.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical reinforcement leg at midspan
$S_{vp.mid} \coloneqq 12$ <i>in</i>	Spacing cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at midspan
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.mid}} \coloneqq 14.0625 \ \textit{in}$	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{vpl.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical reir.forcement leg at joints/ends
$S_{vp.end} = 6 $ <i>in</i>	Spacing cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends
$d_{cp.end} := 14.0625 \ in$	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reinforcement at joints/ends

#### Deck anchorage reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{val.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan
$S_{va.mid} := 6$ in	Spacing of deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{ca.mid}} \! \coloneqq \! 22.25 \; \textit{in}$	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{val.end} = 0.2 \ \textit{in}^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reir.forcement leg at joints/ends
$S_{va.end} = 6$ in	Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends
d <sub>ca.end</sub> ≔22.25 <i>in</i>	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at joints/ends

## Barrier Longitudinal reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_w := 0.2 \cdot 6 \ in^2 = 1.2 \ in^2$	Area cf longitudinal reir.forcement bars in tension
d <sub>w</sub> :=13.5625 <i>in</i>	Average extreme distance cf tension longitudinal reir.forcement



SUBJECT:	42" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
	Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
	Load from Outside Barrier (left side)

## (1c) Concrete Deck Inputs:

$t_{s.cov.bot} = 1.5$ in	Concrete clear cover cf deck at bottom
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{s.bot}} \! \coloneqq \! 9.1875 \; \boldsymbol{in}$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at bottom
$A_{s,bot} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reinforcement at bottom (single bar)
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{s.bot}} \coloneqq 3$ in	Spacing cf deck flexural reir.forcement at bottom
$t_{s.cov.top}$ := 2.5 in	Concrete clear cover cf deck at top
$d_{s.top} := 8.1875 \ in$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s.top} \coloneqq 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reit forcement at top (single bar)
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{s.top}} \coloneqq 3  in$	Spacing of deck flexural reinforcement at top

## (1d) Design Force Inputs:

## **Design Forces for Traffic Railings**

Test Level	Rail Height (in.)	F <sub>t</sub> (kip)	F <sub>L</sub> (kip)	F <sub>y</sub> (kip)	L/L (ft)	L <sub>v</sub> (ft)	H <sub>e</sub> (in)	H <sub>min</sub> (in)
TL-1	18 or above	13.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
TL-2	18 or above	27.0	9.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	20.0	18.0
TL-3	29 or above	71.0	18.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	19.0	29.0
TL-4 (a)	36	68.0	22.0	38.0	4.0	18.0	25.0	36.0
TL-4 (b)	between 36 and 42	80.0	27.0	22.0	5.0	18.0	30.0	36.0
TL-5 (a)	42	160.0	41.0	80.0	10.0	40.0	35.0	42.0
TL-5 (b)	greater than 42	262.0	75.0	160.0	10.0	40.0	43.0	42.0
TL 6		175.0	58.0	80.0	8.0	40.0	56.0	90.0

<u>References:</u> - TL-1 and TL-2 Design Forces are from AASHTO LRFD Section 13 Table A13.2-1 - TL-3 Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 20-07 Task 395 - TL-4 (a), TL-4 (b), TL-5 (a), and TL-5 (b) Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 22-20(2)

TL := 4	Test level
$F_t \coloneqq 80 \ \textit{kip}$	Transverse impact force
$L_t \coloneqq 5 ft$	Longitudinal length $cf$ distribution $cf$ impact force
$H_e := 30$ in	Height cf equivalent transverses load



$H_{\min} = 36 in$	Minimum height cf a MASH IL-4 barrier			
$H_w \!=\! 42  in$	Height of concrete barrier			
(2) Stability Criteria:				
$H_{min} = 36 \ in$	Minimum height of a MASH 1L-4 barrier			
$H_w = 42 \ in$	Height cf concrete barrier measured from the tcp cf the roadway surface/asphalt overlay			
Minimum_Height_	of_Barrier_Check := if $H_w \ge H_{min}$			
	"OK"			
	eise    "Not OK"			

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

#### (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification:

# (3a) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Midspan: Memid (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{c}} \coloneqq 12 \ \mathbf{in}$	Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section .	13 procedure)
--	---	---------------

$A_{vpl.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one parapet vertical reir forcement l	leg at midspan
----------------------------	---	----------------

 $S_{vp.mid} = 12$  in

Spacing cf parapet vertical reinforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.mid}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{vp.mid}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{vpl.mid}} = 0.2 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf parapet vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reinforcement at midspan

 $d_{cp.mid}\!=\!14.063~\textit{in}$ 

$$a_{cp.mid} \! \coloneqq \! \frac{A_{vp.mid} \! \cdot \! f_y}{0.85 \! \cdot \! f_c' \! \cdot \! b_c} \! = \! 0.294 ~\textit{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block



$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{cp.mid}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{cp.mid}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{c}} = 13.915 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the parapet vertical reir.forcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.fi)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

$$b_c = 12$$
 in Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section 13 procedure)

 $A_{val.mid} = 0.2 \, \textit{in}^2$  Area of one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan

 $S_{va.mid} = 6$  in

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{va.mid}} \! \coloneqq \! \left( \! \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{va.mid}}} \! \right) \! \cdot \! \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{val.mid}} \! = \! \mathbf{0.4} \, \textit{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

$$d_{ca.mid} \!=\! 22.25 \; in$$

Extreme distance of tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y}}{\mathbf{0.85} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c}} = 0.588 \ \boldsymbol{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.mid}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 43.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} \coloneqq \min \left( \mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.mid}}, \mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.mid}} \right) = 13.915 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering the critical reinforcement



## (3b) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Joints/Ends: Mcend (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

$$A_{vpl.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$$

Area cf one parapet vertical reir forcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{vp.end} = 6$  in

Spacing cf parapet vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{vp.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{vpl.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical rein forcement per unit length at midspan

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.end}}\!=\!14.063~\textit{in}$ 

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends (at barrier mid-heigh)

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{cp.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{vp.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}}}{\mathbf{0.85} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}} = 0.588 \; \textit{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\rm cp.end} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\rm vp.end} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm y} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\rm cp.end} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\rm cp.end}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\rm c}} = 27.537 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement specified in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

 $A_{val.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$ 

Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{va.end} = 6 in$ 

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reir forcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{va.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{val.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical rein forcement per unit length at joints/ends

 $d_{\rm ca.end}\!=\!22.25$  in

Extreme distance of tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends



 $\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c}} = 0.588 \text{ in} \qquad Depth \ cf \ Whitney \ stress \ block$ 

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 43.912 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement specified in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}} \coloneqq \min\left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.end}}, \mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.end}}\right) = 27.537 \; \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at joints/ends when considering the critical reinforcement

## (3c) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Vertical Axis: Mw

$d_w \!=\! 13.563 \; in$	$E_{j}$ fective depth of longitudinal reinforcement bars
$A_w \!=\! 1.2  \textit{in}^2$	Area cf longitudinal reinforcement bars in tension
$H_w = 42 in$	Extreme distance of tension longitudinal reinforcement of barrier

$$a_{w} := \frac{A_{w} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c}' \cdot H_{w}} = 0.504 \ in$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}} \coloneqq \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{w}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{w}}}{2}\right) = 79.862 \ kip \cdot ft$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the vertical axis spec fied in Article A 13.3.1



(3d) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Midspan: Rw.mid



Figure 2. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact within Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG).

$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{8 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}}}} = 15.421 \ \text{ft} \qquad \text{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-2}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w.mid}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} - \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{B}} + 8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} \cdot (\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.mid}})^{2}}{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{e}}} = 171.669 \ \textit{kip}$$

$$AASHTO \ Equation \ A13.3.1-1$$

## (3e) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Joints/Ends: Rw.cnd



Figure 3. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact near End of Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG)





$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}}}} = 6.55 \ \textit{ft} \qquad \textit{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-4}$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{w.end}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} - \mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}}\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{e}}} = 144.288 \ \textit{kip}$$

AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-3

## (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification -Summary of Results:

$H_w = 42 in$	Height of the concrete barrier measured from the top of the roadway surface
$H_e = 30$ in	Height of the transverse impact force, Fi
${ m F_t}{=}80~kip$	Transverses impact force located at He
$R_{w.mid} = 171.669 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance cf the wall at midspan
$R_{w.end} = 144.288 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance of the wall at joints/ends

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 



#### (4) Punching Shear Capacity of the Barrier:

$\lambda \coloneqq 1.0$	Concrete weight modification factor	
$d_c \coloneqq 10.55 \ in$	Depth of shear zone at height of equivalent transverse	load
T <sub>w.top</sub> :=7.625 <i>in</i>	Top width of barrier	He He
$h_c := 11 \ in$	Width cf shear zone	
$L_t = 5 \; ft$	Distribution width cf transverse impact force	Figure 4. Punching Shear Variables
$f'_c = 4 \ ksi$	Concrete barrier compressive strength	ru nores
-		

 $d_{f} := \frac{T_{w,top} + d_{c}}{2} = 9.088 \ \textit{in}$  Median depth of shear zone

section (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)

Median perimeter of exterior critical section (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)

$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{o.int}} \coloneqq \left( \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{c}} \right) + 2 \cdot \left( \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{c}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2} \right) = 103.1 \text{ in}$$

$$Median \text{ perimeter cf interior critical}$$

 $b_{o.end} := \left(L_t + \frac{d_c}{2}\right) + \left(h_c + \frac{d_c}{2}\right) = 81.55 \ in$ 

 $V_{c} := min (V_{c.int}, V_{c.end}) = 185.271 \ kip$ 

 $F_t = 80 \ kip$ 

d-12

Figure 5. Punching Shear at Interior Segment

L

d\_/2



Figure 6. Punching Shear at Exterior Segment

 $V_{\text{c.end}} := 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{f'_{\text{c}}}{1000 \text{ psi}}} \cdot \text{ksi} \right) \cdot b_{\text{o.end}} \cdot d_{\text{f}} = 185.271 \text{ kip} \quad \text{Exterior punching shear capacity} \\ (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)$ 

Critical punching shear capacity

Transverse impact force

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check \coloneqq if ~ V_c \! > \! F_t$ || "OK" else || "Not OK"

Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"



#### (5) Deck Moment Capacity:

#### (5a) Deck Moment Demand:

- The moment demand on the deck due to the TL-4 impact loading was determined using RISA-3D structral analysis.

- A solid model of the 42" barrier, as shown in Figure 5, was developed to determine the barrier loads to the deck. This model was generated with 6" wide elements in the longitudinal direction to represent the spacing of the barrier vertical reinforcements.

- The deck was modeled using meshed plate elements (4' x 50' with 6" x 6" plate elements). The reactions from the barrier to the deck were applied at the corresponding locations as shown in Figure 6.

\* Detailed discussion will be provided in the final report.



Figure 7. Barrier Solid Model



Figure 8. Deck Plate Model with Barrier Reactions



Deck moment demand from RISA 3-D analysis:



Max. moment demand at mid-span:  $M_{u.mid} = 19.07 \ kip \cdot ft$ 



## (5b) Deck Nominal Moment Capacity:

$b_{c.unit} = 12 $ <i>in</i>	Unit width of deck
$t_s = 11 \ in$	Depth of deck
$d_{s.bot} \!=\! 9.188 \; in$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s,bot} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reir forcement at top
$S_{s.bot} = 3$ in	Spacing of deck flexural reinforcement at top



42" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
Load from Outside Barrier (left side)

$A_{s.unit} \coloneqq \frac{A_{s.bot}}{S_{s.bot}} \cdot b_{c.unit} = 1.24 \text{ in}^2$	
As unit • fu	

Unit area cf deck flexural reinforcement at end section

 $\mathbf{a}_{s} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{s.unit} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y}}{\mathbf{0.85} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c.unit}} = 1.824 \ \mathbf{in} \qquad Depth \ cf$ 

 $D\epsilon pth \ \epsilon f \ Whitney \ stress \ block \ at \ end \ section$ 

 $M_{n.s} \coloneqq A_{s.unit} \cdot f_y \cdot \left( d_{s.bot} - 0.5 \cdot a_s \right) = 51.31 \ \textit{kip} \cdot \textit{ft} \qquad \textit{Nominal moment capacity of deck}$ 

## (5c) Deck Moment Capacity with Coincident Tensile Forces (AASHTO A 13.4.2):

- Check at critical location: end-section	
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c.end}}\!=\!6.55\;ft$	Distribution length cf yield line mechanism
$R_{w.end} = 144.288 \ kip$	Nominal resistance cf barrier to transverse load
$H_w = 42$ in	Height of barrier
$\mathbf{T} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w.end}}}{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.end}} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}} = 10.649 \ \frac{kip}{ft}$	Coincident tensile force from vehicle impact (AASHTO Eq. A 13.4.21)
$T_a := A_{s.unit} \cdot f_y = 74.4 \ \textit{kip}$	Tensile load provided by deck flexural reir forcement
$\mathbf{C} \coloneqq \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{t} = 63.751 \ \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{p}$	Resultant compression force in deck section
$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{c}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathrm{C}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}} = 1.563 \ \textit{in}$	Depth of Whitney stress block for compression force
$\mathbf{M}_{n.t} \coloneqq \mathbf{T}_{a} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{s.bot} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{c}}{2} \right) - \mathbf{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_{s.bot}}{2} \right)$	$\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2}\right) = 48.735 \ kip \cdot ft$

Moment capacity of deck with coincident tensile force

 $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.deck}} \! \coloneqq \! \max \left( \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.end}}, \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.mid}} \right) \! = \! 31.97 \ \textit{kip} \cdot \textit{ft}$ 

Maximum moment demand



 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check \coloneqq if \ M_{n.t} \! > \! M_{u.deck}$ else "Not OK"

 $Moment_Capacity_of_Deck_Check = "OK"$ 

#### (6) Reinforcement Development Length:

 $d_{b.\#4} = 0.5 \ \textit{in}$  ;  $d_{b.\#5} = 0.625 \ \textit{in}$ 

Bar diameter

$$\mathbf{l}_{\mathrm{db},\#4} \coloneqq 2.4 \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{b},\#4} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{f}'_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi} \cdot ksi}} = 3 \ ft$$

Basic development length (AASHTO Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-2)

$$l_{db,\#5} := 2.4 \cdot d_{b,\#5} \cdot \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{f'_c \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi} \cdot ksi}} = 3.75 \ ft$$

 $\lambda = 1.0$ Concrete weight modification factor  $\lambda_{\rm rl} \coloneqq 1.0$ Reinforcement location factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b) Coating factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b)  $\lambda_{cf} \coloneqq 1.0$  $\lambda_{\rm er} \coloneqq 1.0$ Excess reir forcement factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)  $\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{tr}}\!\coloneqq\!\mathbf{0}$ Transverse reir forcement index (conservatively taken as 0 as per AASHTO C5.10.8.2.1c)

 $c_{b.\#4} := 1.75 \ \textit{in}$  ;  $c_{b.\#5} := 1.8125 \ \textit{in}$ 

Smaller cf reir forcement edge distance and oneha.fcfspacing

$$\lambda_{\rm rc.\#4} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#4}}{c_{\rm b.\#4} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4 \quad ; \quad \lambda_{\rm rc.\#5} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#5}}{c_{\rm b.\#5} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4$$

Reinforcement confinement factor ,  $0.4 \le \lambda rc \le 1.0$  (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)


 $\label{eq:lock_Reinforcement_Development_Length_Check:= if $l_{anc} > l_{d,\#4} \| l_{s.top} > l_{d,\#5} \| \\ \| ``OK" \\ else \\ \| ``Not OK" \\ \end{array}$ 

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check="OK"$ 

#### (7) Analysis Results Overview:

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check="OK"$ 



### (8) Summary of Barrier Design:

- 1. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 6" O.C. for 10'-0" at Barrier End Section
- 2. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 12" O.C. out at Mid-Section (greater than 10'-0" from End Sections)
- 3. All other details as shown on Section and Elevation Views as provided herein for the 42" Barrier as analyzed herein are acceptable for MASH TL-4 Impact conditions.



#### Overview:

These calcualtions evaluate the strength of the given barrier design for MASH TL-4 impact load condtions.

- 42" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact Load from the Barrier Right Side in the Section View below.

# Design Details:



Section View (a)



 
 SUBJECT:
 42" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact Load from Inside Barrier (right side)



Section View (b)



Elevation



SUBJECT:	42" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
	Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
	Load from Inside Barrier (right side)





# (1) Define Inputs:

### (1a) General Inputs:

$\mathbf{f'_c} \coloneqq 4000 \ \boldsymbol{psi}$	Compressive strength cf concrete
$f_y := 60 \ ksi$	Yield strength reinforcing steel
$E_s := 29000 \ ksi$	Modulus cf elasticity cf steel
$H_w := 42$ in	Height of barrier
$T_{w.top} \coloneqq 7.625 \ in$	Top width cf barrier
$T_{w,bot} = 24$ in	Bottom width of barrier
$t_s := 11$ in	Depth of deck
$d_{\#4} = 0.5 \ \textit{in}$ ; $A_{\#4} = 0.2 \ \textit{in}^2$	Diameter and section area of #4 bar
$d_{\#5} = 0.625 \ \textit{in}$ ; $A_{\#5} = 0.31 \ \textit{in}^2$	Diameter and section area cf #5 bar



Figure 1. Sketch of Concrete Wall/Parapet Showing Input Variable



# (1b) Concrete Barrier Inputs:

 $t_{b.cov} \coloneqq 1.5 \ \textit{in}$  Concrete clear cover of barrier

### Barrier vertical reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{vpl.mid} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical reinforcement leg at midspan
$S_{vp.mid} \coloneqq 12$ <i>in</i>	Spacing cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at midspan
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.mid}} \coloneqq 14.0625 \ \textit{in}$	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{vpl.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical reir.forcement leg at joints/ends
$S_{vp.end} = 6$ in	Spacing cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends
$d_{cp.end} = 14.0625 \ in$	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reinforcement at joints/ends

#### Deck anchorage reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{val.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan
$S_{va.mid} := 6$ in	Spacing of deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{ca.mid}} \! \coloneqq \! 22.25 \; \textit{in}$	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{val.end} = 0.2 \ \textit{in}^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reir.forcement leg at joints/ends
$S_{va.end} = 6$ in	Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends
d <sub>ca.end</sub> ≔22.25 <i>in</i>	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at joints/ends

### Barrier Longitudinal reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_w := 0.2 \cdot 6 \ in^2 = 1.2 \ in^2$	Area cf longitudinal reir forcement bars in tension
d <sub>w</sub> ≔13.5625 <i>in</i>	Average extreme distance cf tension longitudinal reir.forcement



SUBJECT:	42" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
	Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
	Load from Inside Barrier (right side)

### (1c) Concrete Deck Inputs:

$t_{s.cov.bot} \coloneqq 1.5$ in	Concrete clear cover cf deck at bottom
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{s.bot}} \! \coloneqq \! 9.1875 \; \textit{in}$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at bottom
$A_{s.bot} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reinforcement at bottom (single bar)
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{s.bot}} \coloneqq 3  in$	Spacing cf deck flexural reir,forcement at bottom
$t_{s.cov.top} = 2.5$ in	Concrete clear cover cf deck at top
$d_{s.top} := 8.1875 \ in$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s.top} \coloneqq 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reir.forcement at top (single bar)
$S_{s.top} := 3 $ <i>in</i>	Spacing cf deck flexural reinforcement at top

### (1d) Design Force Inputs:

### **Design Forces for Traffic Railings**

Test Level	Rail Height (in.)	F <sub>t</sub> (kip)	F <sub>L</sub> (kip)	F <sub>y</sub> (kip)	L/L (ft)	L <sub>v</sub> (ft)	H <sub>e</sub> (in)	H <sub>min</sub> (in)
TL-1	18 or above	13.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
TL-2	18 or above	27.0	9.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	20.0	18.0
TL-3	29 or above	71.0	18.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	19.0	29.0
TL-4 (a)	36	68.0	22.0	38.0	4.0	18.0	25.0	36.0
TL-4 (b)	between 36 and 42	80.0	27.0	22.0	5.0	18.0	30.0	36.0
TL-5 (a)	42	160.0	41.0	80.0	10.0	40.0	35.0	42.0
TL-5 (b)	greater than 42	262.0	75.0	160.0	10.0	40.0	43.0	42.0
TL 6		175.0	58.0	80.0	8.0	40.0	56.0	90.0

<u>References:</u> - TL-1 and TL-2 Design Forces are from AASHTO LRFD Section 13 Table A13.2-1 - TL-3 Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 20-07 Task 395 - TL-4 (a), TL-4 (b), TL-5 (a), and TL-5 (b) Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 22-20(2)

TL := 4	Test level
$F_t \coloneqq 80 \ \textit{kip}$	Transverse impact force
$L_t \coloneqq 5 ft$	Longitudinal length $cf$ distribution $cf$ impact force
$H_e := 30$ in	Height cf equivalent transverses load



$H_{\min} = 36 in$	Minimum height cf a MASH IL-4 barrier
$H_w \!=\! 42  in$	Height cf concrete barrier
(2) Stability Criteria:	
$H_{min} = 36 \ in$	Minimum height of a MASH 1L-4 barrier
$H_w = 42 \ in$	Height cf concrete barrier measured from the tcp cf the roadway sunface/asphalt overlay
Minimum_Height_	of_Barrier_Check := if $H_w \ge H_{min}$
	"OK"
	eise    "Not OK"

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

#### (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification:

# (3a) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Midspan: Memid (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{c}} \coloneqq 12 \ \mathbf{in}$	Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section .	13 procedure)
--	---	---------------

$A_{vpl.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one parapet vertical reinforcement	leg at midspan
----------------------------	--	----------------

 $S_{vp.mid} = 12 in$ 

Spacing of parapet vertical reinforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.mid}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{vp.mid}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{vpl.mid}} = 0.2 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf parapet vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reinforcement at midspan

 $d_{cp.mid}\!=\!14.063~\textit{in}$ 

$$a_{cp.mid} \! \coloneqq \! \frac{A_{vp.mid} \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c' \cdot b_c} \! = \! 0.294 \ \textit{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block



$$\mathbf{M}_{\rm cp,mid} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\rm vp,mid} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm y} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\rm cp,mid} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\rm cp,mid}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\rm c}} = 13.915 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the parapet vertical reinforcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

$$b_c = 12$$
 in Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section 13 procedure)

 $A_{val.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$  Area of one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan

 $S_{va.mid} = 6$  in

Spacing of deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{va.mid}} \! \coloneqq \! \left( \! \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{va.mid}}} \! \right) \! \cdot \! \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{val.mid}} \! = \! \mathbf{0.4} \, \textit{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{ca.mid}}\!=\!22.25\;\boldsymbol{in}$ 

Extreme distance of tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c}} = 0.588 \ \boldsymbol{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.mid}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{c}} = 43.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} \coloneqq \min \left( \mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.mid}}, \mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.mid}} \right) = 13.915 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering the critical reinforcement



### (3b) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Joints/Ends: Mcend (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

$$A_{vpl.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$$

Area cf one parapet vertical reir.forcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{vp.end} = 6$  in

Spacing cf parapet vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{vp.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{vpl.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical rein forcement per unit length at midspan

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.end}}\!=\!14.063~\textit{in}$ 

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends (at barrier mid-heigh)

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{cp.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 0.588 \ \textit{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{cp.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{cp.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 27.537 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec\_fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

 $A_{val.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$ 

Area of one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{va.end} = 6 in$ 

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{va.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{val.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical reir forcement per unit length at joints/ends

 $d_{ca.end}\!=\!22.25~\textit{in}$ 

Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends



SUBJECT: 42" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact Load from Inside Barrier (right side)

 $\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 0.588 \text{ in} \qquad Depth \ cf \ Whitney \ stress \ block$ 

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 43.912 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement specified in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}} \coloneqq \min\left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.end}}, \mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.end}}\right) = 27.537 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at joints/ends when considering the critical reinforcement

### (3c) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Vertical Axis: Mw

$d_w \!=\! 13.563 \; in$	$E_{j}$ fective depth of longitudinal reinforcement bars
$A_w \!=\! 1.2$ in <sup>2</sup>	Area of longitudinal reinforcement bars in tension
$H_w = 42 in$	Extreme distance of tension longitudinal reinforcement of barrier

$$a_{w} := \frac{A_{w} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c}' \cdot H_{w}} = 0.504 \ in$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}} \coloneqq \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{w}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{w}}}{2}\right) = 79.862 \ kip \cdot ft$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the vertical axis spec fied in Article A 13.3.1



(3d) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Midspan: Rw.mid



Figure 2. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact within Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG).

$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{8 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}}}} = 15.421 \text{ ft} \qquad \text{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-2}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w.mid}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} - \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{B}} + 8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} \cdot (\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.mid}})^{2}}{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{e}}} = 171.669 \ \textit{kip}$$

$$AASHTO \ Equation \ A13.3.1-1$$

### (3e) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Joints/Ends: Rw.cnd



Figure 3. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact near End of Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG)





$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}}}} = 6.55 \ \textit{ft} \qquad \textit{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-4}$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{w.end}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} - \mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}}\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{e}}} = 144.288 \ \textit{kip}$$

AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-3

# (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification -Summary of Results:

$H_w = 42 in$	Height of the concrete barrier measured from the top of the roadway surface
$H_e = 30$ in	Height of the transverse impact force, Fi
${ m F_t}{=}80~kip$	Transverses impact force located at He
$R_{w.mid} = 171.669 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance of the wall at midspan
$R_{w.end} = 144.288 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance of the wall at joints/ends

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 



#### (4) Punching Shear Capacity of the Barrier:

$\lambda \coloneqq 1.0$	Concrete weight modification factor	h <sub>c</sub>
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{c}}\!\coloneqq\!10.55~\textit{in}$	Depth of shear zone at height of equivalent transverse load	
$\mathrm{T_{w.top}}\!\coloneqq\!7.625~\textit{in}$	Top width of barrier	1.
$\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{c}} \coloneqq 11 \ \boldsymbol{in}$	Width cf shear zone	14
$L_t = 5 \ ft$	Distribution width cf transverse impact force	Figure 4.
$f'_c = 4 \ ksi$	Concrete barrier compressive strength	variables
$d_{f} := \frac{T_{w.top} + d_{c}}{2} = 9$	9.088 <i>in</i> Median depth of shear zone	Vedian perimeter of s

$$b_{o.int} := (L_t + d_c) + 2 \cdot (h_c + \frac{d_c}{2}) = 103.1$$
 in



4. Punching Shear

d-/2

He

Median perimeter cf interior critical section (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{c.int}} \coloneqq 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}'}{1000 \ \mathbf{psi}}} \cdot \mathbf{ksi} \right) \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{o.int}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{f}} = 234.23 \ \mathbf{kip}$$

Interior punching shear capacity (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)

$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{o,end}} \coloneqq \left( \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{t}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2} \right) + \left( \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{c}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2} \right) = 81.55 \text{ in}$$

$$Median \ perimeter \ cf \ exterior \ critical \\ section \ (AASHTO \ 5.8.4.3.4)$$

 $V_{c} := min \left( V_{c,int}, V_{c,end} \right) = 185.271 \ kip$ 

 $F_t = 80 \ kip$ 



Figure 6. Punching Shear at Exterior Segment

 $V_{\text{c.end}} \coloneqq 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{f'_{\text{c}}}{1000 \text{ psi}}} \cdot \text{ksi} \right) \cdot b_{\text{o.end}} \cdot d_{\text{f}} = 185.271 \text{ kip} \quad \text{Exterior punching shear capacity} \\ (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)$ 

Critical punching shear capacity

Transverse impact force

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 



#### (5) Deck Moment Capacity:

#### (5a) Deck Moment Demand:

- The moment demand on the deck due to the TL-4 impact loading was determined using RISA-3D structral analysis.

- A solid model of the 42" barrier, as shown in Figure 5, was developed to determine the barrier loads to the deck. This model was generated with 6" wide elements in the longitudinal direction to represent the spacing of the barrier vertical reinforcements.

- The deck was modeled using meshed plate elements (4' x 50' with 6" x 6" plate elements). The reactions from the barrier to the deck were applied at the corresponding locations as shown in Figure 6.

\* Detailed discussion will be provided in the final report.



Figure 7. Barrier Solid Model



Figure 8. Deck Plate Model with Barrier Reactions



Deck moment demand from RISA 3-D analysis:



Max. moment demand at mid-span:  $M_{u.mid} = 21.8 \ kip \cdot ft$ 



### (5b) Deck Nominal Moment Capacity:

$b_{c.unit} \coloneqq 12 \ in$	Unit width of deck
$t_s = 11$ in	Depth of deck
$d_{s.top} = 8.188$ in	Extreme distance cf deck flexural reit.forcement at top
$A_{s.top} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reinforcement at top
$S_{s,top} = 3$ in	Spacing of deck flexural reinforcement at top



SUBJECT:	42" LADOTD Barrier with a Longitudinal
	Open Joint on Cantilever Deck with Impact
	Load from Inside Barrier (right side)

$\mathbf{A}_{s.unit} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{s.top}}{\mathbf{S}_{s.top}} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c.unit} = 1.24 \ \textit{in}^2$	
$A_{s}$ unit $\cdot f_{v}$	

Unit area cf deck flexural reinforcement at end section

 $\mathbf{a}_{s} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{s.unit} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{y}}{\mathbf{0.85} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c.unit}} = 1.824 \text{ in } \qquad Depth \ cf \ W.$ 

Depth of Whitney stress block at end section

 $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{n.s}} \coloneqq \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s.unit}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{s.top}} - 0.5 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{s}} \right) = 45.11 \ \textit{kip} \cdot \textit{ft} \qquad \textit{Nominal moment capacity of deck}$ 

(5c) Deck Moment Capacity with Coincident Tensile Forces (AASHTO A 13.4.2):		
- Check at critical location: end-section		
$L_{c.end} \!=\! 6.55 \; ft$	Distribution length of yield line mechanism	
$R_{w.end} = 144.288 \ kip$	Nominal resistance cf barrier to transverse load	
$H_w = 42$ in	Height of barrier	
$\mathbf{T} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w.end}}}{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.end}} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}} = 10.649 \frac{kip}{ft}$	Coincident tensile force from vehicle impact (AASHTO Eq. A 13.4.21)	
$T_a\!\coloneqq\!A_{s.unit}\!\cdot\!f_y\!=\!74.4~\textit{kip}$	Tensile load provided by deck flexural reinforcement	
$C := T_a - T \cdot ft = 63.751 \ kip$	Resultant compression force in deck section	
$\mathbf{a}_{c} \coloneqq \frac{C}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}'_{c} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c}} = 1.563 \ \boldsymbol{in}$	Depth of Whitney stress block for compression force	
$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{n}.\mathbf{t}} \coloneqq \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{s}.top} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{c}}}{2} \right) - \mathbf{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{s}.top}}{2} \right)$	$\left(-\frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2}\right) = 42.979 \ \boldsymbol{kip} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft}$	
	Moment capacity of deck with coincident tensile force	

 $\mathbf{M}_{u.deck} \! \coloneqq \! \max \left( \mathbf{M}_{u.end}, \mathbf{M}_{u.mid} \right) \! = \! 35.3 \ \textit{kip} \cdot \textit{ft}$ 

Maximum moment demand



 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check \coloneqq if \ M_{n.t} \! > \! M_{u.deck} \! \Bigr|$ else "Not OK"

 $Moment_Capacity_of_Deck_Check = "OK"$ 

#### (6) Reinforcement Development Length:

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{b}.\#4}\!\coloneqq\!0.5~\textit{in}~~;~~\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{b}.\#5}\!\coloneqq\!0.625~\textit{in}$ 

Bar diameter

$$l_{db,\#4} := 2.4 \cdot d_{b,\#4} \cdot \frac{f_{y}}{\sqrt{f'_{c} \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi}} \cdot ksi} = 3 \ ft$$

Basic development length (AASHTO Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-2)

$$l_{db,\#5} := 2.4 \cdot d_{b,\#5} \cdot \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{f'_c \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi} \cdot ksi}} = 3.75 \ ft$$

 $\lambda = 1.0$ Concrete weight modification factor  $\lambda_{\rm rl} \coloneqq 1.0$ Reinforcement location factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b) Coating factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b)  $\lambda_{cf} \coloneqq 1.0$  $\lambda_{\rm er} \coloneqq 1.0$ Excess reir forcement factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)  $\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{tr}}\!\coloneqq\!\mathbf{0}$ Transverse reir forcement index (conservatively taken as 0 as per AASHTO C5.10.8.2.1c)

 $c_{b.\#4} := 1.75 \ \textit{in}$  ;  $c_{b.\#5} := 1.8125 \ \textit{in}$ 

Smaller cf reir forcement edge distance and oneha.fcfspacing

$$\lambda_{\rm rc.\#4} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#4}}{c_{\rm b.\#4} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4 \quad ; \quad \lambda_{\rm rc.\#5} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#5}}{c_{\rm b.\#5} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4$$

Reinforcement confinement factor ,  $0.4 \le \lambda rc \le 1.0$  (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)



 $\label{eq:lock_Reinforcement_Development_Length_Check:= if $l_{anc} > l_{d.\#4} \\ \| l_{s.top} > l_{d.\#5} \| \\ \| "OK" \\ else \\ \| "Not OK" \\ \end{array}$ 

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check="OK"$ 

#### (7) Analysis Results Overview:

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check="OK"$ 



### (8) Summary of Barrier Design:

- 1. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 6" O.C. for 10'-0" at Barrier End Section
- 2. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 12" O.C. out at Mid-Section (greater than 10'-0" from End Sections)
- 3. All other details as shown on Section and Elevation Views as provided herein for the 42" Barrier as analyzed herein are acceptable for MASH TL-4 Impact conditions.



# **Overview:**

These calcualtions evaluate the strength of the given barrier design for MASH TL-4 impact load condtions.

- 42" LADOTD Median Barrier

# Design Details:



Section View (a)





Section View (b)









Iso View



# (1) Define Inputs:

# (1a) General Inputs:

$\mathbf{f'_c} \coloneqq 4000 \ \boldsymbol{psi}$	Compressive strength cf concrete
$f_y := 60 \ ksi$	Yield strength reinforcing steel
$E_s \coloneqq 29000 \ ksi$	Modulus cf elasticity cf steel
$H_w := 42 \ in$	Height cf barrier
$T_{w.top} \coloneqq 7.625 \ in$	Top width cf barrier
$T_{w,bot} = 24$ in	Bottom width of barrier
$\mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{s}}\!\coloneqq\!8.5~\boldsymbol{in}$	Depth of deck
$d_{\#4} := 0.5 \ \textit{in}$ ; $A_{\#4} := 0.2 \ \textit{in}^2$	Diameter and section area of #4 bar
$d_{\#5} = 0.625 \ in$ ; $A_{\#5} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Diameter and section area cf #5 bar



Figure 1. Sketch of Concrete Wall/Parapet Showing Input Variable



# (1b) Concrete Barrier Inputs:

 $t_{b,cov} \coloneqq 1.5 \ \textit{in}$  Concrete clear cover of barrier

### Barrier vertical reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{vpl.mid} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical reinforcement leg at midspan
$S_{vp.mid} \coloneqq 12$ in	Spacing cf barrier vertical reinforcement at midspan
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.mid}} \coloneqq 14.0625 \ \textit{in}$	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{vpl.end} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one barrier vertical reir.forcement leg at joints/ends
$S_{vp.end} = 6$ in	Spacing cf barrier vertical reinforcement at joints/ends
$d_{cp.end} := 14.0625 \ in$	Average extreme distance cf barrier vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends

# Deck anchorage reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_{val.mid} \coloneqq 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan
$S_{va.mid} = 6  in$	Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan
$d_{ca.mid}$ :=22.25 <i>in</i>	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at midspan
$A_{val.end} \! \coloneqq \! 0.2  \operatorname{\textit{in}}^2$	Area cf one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends
$S_{va.end} = 6  in$	Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends
d <sub>ca.end</sub> :=22.25 <i>in</i>	Extreme distance cf tension deck anchorage reir.forcement at joints/ends

# Barrier Longitudinal reinforcement inputs (#4 bars):

$A_w := 0.2 \cdot 6 \ in^2 = 1.2 \ in^2$	Area cf longitudinal reir.forcement bars in tension
$d_w := 13.5625 \ in$	Average extreme distance cf tension longitudinal reir.forcement



# (1c) Concrete Deck Inputs:

$t_{s.cov.bot} = 1.5$ in	Concrete clear cover cf deck at bottom
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{s.bot}} \coloneqq 6.6875 \ \textit{in}$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at bottom
$A_{s.bot} \coloneqq 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reinforcement at bottom (single bar)
$S_{s,bot} \coloneqq 6$ in	Spacing cf deck flexural reir.forcement at bottom
$t_{s.cov.top} \coloneqq 2.5$ in	Concrete clear cover cf deck at top
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{s.top}} \coloneqq 5.875 \ \textit{in}$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s.top} \coloneqq 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area cf deck flexural reir.forcement at top (single bar)
$S_{s.top} := 6 $ <i>in</i>	Spacing of deck flexural reinforcement at top

### (1d) Design Force Inputs:

### **Design Forces for Traffic Railings**

Test Level	Rail Height (in.)	F <sub>t</sub> (kip)	F <sub>L</sub> (kip)	F <sub>y</sub> (kip)	L/L (ft)	L <sub>v</sub> (ft)	H <sub>e</sub> (in)	H <sub>min</sub> (in)
TL-1	18 or above	13.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
TL-2	18 or above	27.0	9.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	20.0	18.0
TL-3	29 or above	71.0	18.0	4.5	4.0	18.0	19.0	29.0
TL-4 (a)	36	68.0	22.0	38.0	4.0	18.0	25.0	36.0
TL-4 (b)	between 36 and 42	80.0	27.0	22.0	5.0	18.0	30.0	36.0
TL-5 (a)	42	160.0	41.0	80.0	10.0	40.0	35.0	42.0
TL-5 (b)	greater than 42	262.0	75.0	160.0	10.0	40.0	43.0	42.0
TL 6		175.0	58.0	80.0	8.0	40.0	56.0	90.0

<u>References:</u> - TL-1 and TL-2 Design Forces are from AASHTO LRFD Section 13 Table A13.2-1 - TL-3 Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 20-07 Task 395 - TL-4 (a), TL-4 (b), TL-5 (a), and TL-5 (b) Design Forces are from research conducted under NCHRP Project 22-20(2)

TL := 4	Test level
$F_t \coloneqq 80 \ \textit{kip}$	Transverse impact force
$L_t \coloneqq 5 ft$	Longitudinal length $cf$ distribution $cf$ impact force
$H_e := 30$ in	Height cf equivalent transverses load



$H_{\min} \coloneqq 36 \ in$	Minimum height cf a MASH IL-4 barrier
$H_w = 42$ in	Height of concrete barrier
(2) Stability Criteria	<u>.</u>
$H_{min} = 36$ in	Minimum height of a MASH 1L-4 barrier
$H_w \!=\! 42$ in	Height of concrete barrier measured from the top of the roadway sunface/asphalt overlay
Minimum_Height	$_{\rm of}_{\rm Barrier}_{\rm Check} := if H_{\rm w} \ge H_{\rm min}$
	"OK"
	else
	$\ $ "Not OK"

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

#### (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification:

# (3a) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Midspan: Memid (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

$\mathbf{b}_{c} \coloneqq 12 \ \mathbf{in}$	Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section	13 procedure)
---	---	---------------

$A_{vpl.mid} = 0.2 \ in^2$	Area cf one parapet vertical reir.forcement	leg at midspan
----------------------------	---	----------------

 $S_{vp.mid} = 12$  in

Spacing cf parapet vertical reir forcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.mid}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{vp.mid}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{vpl.mid}} = 0.2 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf parapet vertical reir.forcement per unit length at midspan

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reir forcement at midspan

 $d_{cp.mid} = 14.063$  in

$$\mathbf{a}_{\rm cp,mid} \! \coloneqq \! \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\rm vp,mid} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm y}}{\mathbf{0.85} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\rm c}} \! = \! \mathbf{0.294} \ \textit{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block



$$\mathbf{M}_{\rm cp.mid} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\rm vp.mid} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm y} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\rm cp.mid} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\rm cp.mid}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\rm c}} = 13.915 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the parapet vertical reinforcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.fi)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

$$b_c = 12$$
 in Unit width cf wall (take as 1 ft per AASHTO Section 13 procedure)

 $A_{val.mid} = 0.2 \, in^2$  Area of one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at midspan

 $S_{va.mid} = 6$  in

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reir forcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{va.mid}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{va.mid}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{val.mid}} = 0.4 \ \textit{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement per unit length at midspan

$$d_{ca,mid} = 22.25 \ in$$

Extreme distance of tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at midspan

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}}}{\mathbf{0.85} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 0.588 \ \boldsymbol{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.mid}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.mid}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.mid}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{c}} = 43.912 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}} \coloneqq \min \left( \mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.mid}}, \mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.mid}} \right) = 13.915 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at midspan when considering the critical reinforcement



### (3b) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Longitudinal Axis at Joints/Ends: Mcend (k-ft/ft)

For parapet vertical reinforcement:

 $A_{vpl.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$ 

 $\label{eq:action} Area\ cf\ one\ parapet\ vertical\ reir. for cement\ leg\ at\ joints/ends$ 

 $S_{vp.end} = 6 in$ 

Spacing cf parapet vertical reinforcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{vp.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{vpl.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical rein forcement per unit length at midspan

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{cp.end}}\!=\!14.063~\textit{in}$ 

Average extreme distance cf parapet vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends (at barrier mid-heigh)

$$a_{cp.end} := \frac{A_{vp.end} \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f'_c \cdot b_c} = 0.588$$
 in

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{cp.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{vp.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{cp.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{cp.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 27.537 \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance cf the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement spec\_fied in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

For deck anchorage reinforcement:

 $A_{val.end} = 0.2 \ in^2$ 

Area of one deck anchorage vertical reinforcement leg at joints/ends

 $S_{va.end} = 6 in$ 

Spacing cf deck anchorage vertical reir.forcement at joints/ends

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \coloneqq \left( \frac{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{va.end}}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\text{val.end}} = 0.4 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

Total area cf deck anchorage vertical reinforcement per unit length at joints/ends

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{ca.end}}\!=\!22.25~\textit{in}$ 

Extreme distance of tension deck anchorage vertical reinforcement at joints/ends



 $\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{c}} = 0.588 \text{ in} \qquad Depth \ cf \ Whitney \ stress \ block$ 

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{ca.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\left(\mathbf{A}_{\text{va.end}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\text{ca.end}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{ca.end}}}{2}\right)\right)}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{c}}} = 43.912 \ \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at Joints/ Ends when considering only the deck anchorage reinforcement specified in Article A 13.3.1 (k-ft.ft)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c.end}} \! \coloneqq \! \min\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cp.end}}, \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{ca.end}}\right) \! = \! 27.537 \; \frac{kip \! \cdot \! ft}{ft}$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the longitudinal axis at joints/ends when considering the critical reir forcement

### (3c) Bending Capacity of the Barrier about the Vertical Axis: Mw

$d_w \!=\! 13.563 \; in$	$E_{j}$ fective depth of longitudinal reinforcement bars
$A_w \!=\! 1.2  \textit{in}^2$	Area of longitudinal reinforcement bars in tension
$H_w = 42 in$	Extreme distance of tension longitudinal reinforcement of barrier

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{w}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{w}}} = 0.504 \ \boldsymbol{in}$$

Depth of Whitney stress block

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}} \coloneqq \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{w}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{w}}}{2}\right) = 79.862 \ kip \cdot ft$$

Flexural resistance of the barrier about the vertical axis spec.fied in Article A 13.3.1



(3d) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Midspan: Rw.mid



Figure 2. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact within Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG).

$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.mid}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{8 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.mid}}}} = 15.421 \, \text{ft} \qquad \text{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-2}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w.mid}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} - \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{B}} + 8 \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c.mid}} \cdot (\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.mid}})^{2}}{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{e}}} = 171.669 \ \textit{kip}$$

$$AASHTO \ Equation \ A13.3.1-1$$

### (3e) Ultimate Resistance of the Wall at Joints/Ends: Rw.cnd



Figure 3. Yield Line Analysis of Concrete Parapet Walls for Impact near End of Wall Segment (figure taken from AASHTG)





$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}}\right)}{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}}}} = 6.55 \, ft \qquad \text{AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-4}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{w.end}} \coloneqq \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}} - \mathbf{L}_{\text{t}}}\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\text{B}} + \mathbf{M}_{\text{w}} + \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\text{c.end}} \cdot (\mathbf{L}_{\text{c.end}})^{2}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{w}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{e}}} = 144.288 \ \textit{kip}$$
  
*AASHTO Equation A13.3.1-3*

# (3) LRFD Strength Analysis of the Barrier per AASHTO Section 13 Specification -Summary of Results:

$H_w = 42 in$	Height of the concrete barrier measured from the top of the roadway surface
$H_e = 30$ in	Height of the transverse impact force, Fi
$F_t = 80 \ kip$	Transverses impact force located at He
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w.mid}} \!=\! 171.669 \; kip$	Ultimate resistance cf the wall at midspan
$R_{w.end} = 144.288 \ kip$	Ultimate resistance cf the wall at joints/ends

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 



#### (4) Punching Shear Capacity of the Barrier:

$\lambda := 1.0$	Concrete weight modification factor
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{c}} \coloneqq 10.55 \ \textit{in}$	Depth of shear zone at height of equivalent transverse load
$\mathrm{T_{w.top}}\!\coloneqq\!7.625~\textit{in}$	Top width of barrier
$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{c}} \coloneqq 11 \ \boldsymbol{in}$	Width cf shear zone
$L_t = 5 \; ft$	Distribution width cf transverse impact force
f' <sub>c</sub> =4 <b>ksi</b>	Concrete barrier compressive strength

 $d_{f} \! \coloneqq \! \frac{T_{w.top} \! + \! d_{c}}{2} \! = \! 9.088 \; \textit{in} \qquad \textit{Median depth of shear zone}$ 

$$b_{o,int} := (L_t + d_c) + 2 \cdot (h_c + \frac{d_c}{2}) = 103.1 \ in$$



Variables



Median perimeter of interior criticalFigure 5.section (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)Interior 5

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{c,int}} \coloneqq 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}'}{1000 \ \boldsymbol{psi}}} \cdot \boldsymbol{ksi} \right) \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{o,int}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{f}} = 234.23 \ \boldsymbol{kip}$$

Interior punching shear capacity (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)

$$\mathbf{b}_{\text{o.end}} \coloneqq \left(\mathbf{L}_{\text{t}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\text{c}}}{2}\right) + \left(\mathbf{h}_{\text{c}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\text{c}}}{2}\right) = 81.55 \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Median perimeter of exterior critical} \\ \text{section (AASHTO 5.8.4.3.4)} \end{array}$$

 $V_{c} := min(V_{c.int}, V_{c.end}) = 185.271 \ kip$ 

 $F_t = 80 \ kip$ 





Figure 6. Punching Shear at Exterior Segment

 $V_{\text{c.end}} := 0.125 \cdot \lambda \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{f'_{\text{c}}}{1000 \text{ psi}}} \cdot \text{ksi} \right) \cdot b_{\text{o.end}} \cdot d_{\text{f}} = 185.271 \text{ kip} \quad \text{Exterior punching shear capacity} \\ (AASHTO Eq.5.8.4.3.4-3)$ 

Critical punching shear capacity

Transverse impact force

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 



### (5) Deck Moment Capacity:

#### (5a) Deck Moment Demand:

- The moment demand on the deck due to the TL-4 impact loading was determined using RISA-3D structral analysis.

- A solid model of the 42" barrier, as shown in Figure 5, was developed to determine the barrier loads to the deck. This model was generated with 6" wide elements in the longitudinal direction to represent the spacing of the barrier vertical reinforcements.

- The deck was modeled using meshed plate elements (8' x 50' with 6" x 6" plate elements). The reactions from the barrier to the deck were applied at the corresponding locations as shown in Figure 6.

\* Detailed discussion will be provided in the final report.



Figure 7. Barrier Solid Model



Figure 8. Deck Plate Model with Barrier Reactions



Deck moment demand from RISA 3-D analysis:



Max. moment demand at mid-span:  $M_{u.mid} = 9.91 \ kip \cdot ft$ 



### (5b) Deck Nominal Moment Capacity:

$\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c.unit}} \coloneqq 12  in$	Unit width of deck
$t_s\!=\!8.5~\textit{in}$	Depth of deck
$d_{s.bot} = 6.688  in$	Extreme distance of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$A_{s,bot} = 0.31 \ in^2$	Section area of deck flexural reinforcement at top
$S_{s.bot} = 6 in$	Spacing cf deck flexural reir.forcement at top


$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s.unit}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s.bot}}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{s.bot}}} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c.unit}} = 0.62 \ \boldsymbol{in}^2$$

 $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{s}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s.unit}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{y}}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{c.unit}}} = 0.912 \ \textit{in}$ 

Depth of Whitney stress block at end section

Unit area cf deck flexural reinforcement at end section

 $M_{n.s} \coloneqq A_{s.unit} \cdot f_y \cdot \left( d_{s.bot} - 0.5 \cdot a_s \right) = 19.318 \ \textit{kip} \cdot \textit{ft} \qquad \textit{Nominal moment capacity of deck}$ 

## (5c) Deck Moment Capacity with Coincident Tensile Forces (AASHTO A 13.4.2):

- Check at critical location: end-section	
$\rm L_{c.end}\!=\!6.55\;\textit{ft}$	Distribution length of yield line mechanism
$R_{w.end} = 144.288 \ kip$	Nominal resistance cf barrier to transverse load
$H_w = 42$ in	Height cf barrier
$\mathbf{T} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{w.end}}}{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{c.end}} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{w}}} = 10.649 \ \frac{kip}{ft}$	Coincident tensile force from vehicle impact (AASHTO Eq. A 13.4.21)
$T_a \! \coloneqq \! A_{s,unit} \cdot f_y \! = \! 37.2 \ \textit{kip}$	Tensile load provided by deck flexural reinforcement
$\mathbf{C} \coloneqq \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{t} = 26.551 \ \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{p}$	Resultant compression force in deck section
$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{c}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{c}}} = 0.651 \ \textit{in}$	Depth of Whitney stress block for compression force
$\mathbf{M}_{n.t} \coloneqq \mathbf{T}_{a} \cdot \left( \mathbf{d}_{s.bot} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{c}}{2} \right) - \mathbf{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_{s.bot}}{2} \right)$	$\left(-\frac{\mathbf{a}_{c}}{2}\right) = 17.044 \ \boldsymbol{kip} \cdot \boldsymbol{ft}$

Moment capacity of deck with coincident tensile force

 $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.deck}} \! \coloneqq \! \max \left( \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.end}}, \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{u.mid}} \right) \! = \! 15 \, \textit{kip} \cdot \textit{ft}$ 

Maximum moment demand



 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check \coloneqq if \ M_{n.t} \! > \! M_{u.deck} \Bigr|$ else "Not OK"

 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check="OK"$ 

# (6) Reinforcement Development Length:

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{b}.\#4}\!\coloneqq\!0.5~\textit{in}~~;~~\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{b}.\#5}\!\coloneqq\!0.625~\textit{in}$ 

Bar diameter

$$l_{\rm db,\#4} := 2.4 \cdot d_{\rm b,\#4} \cdot \frac{f_{\rm y}}{\sqrt{f_{\rm c}' \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi}} \cdot ksi} = 3 \ ft$$

Basic development length (AASHTO Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-2)

$$l_{db.\#5} := 2.4 \cdot d_{b.\#5} \cdot \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{f'_c \cdot \frac{1}{1000 \ psi} \cdot ksi}} = 3.75 \ ft$$

 $\lambda = 1.0$ Concrete weight modification factor  $\lambda_{\rm rl} \coloneqq 1.0$ Reinforcement location factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b) Coating factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1b)  $\lambda_{cf} \coloneqq 1.0$  $\lambda_{\rm er} \coloneqq 1.0$ Excess reir forcement factor (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)  $k_{tr} \coloneqq 0$ Transverse reir forcement index (conservatively taken as 0 as per AASHTO C5.10.8.2.1c)

 $c_{b.\#4} := 1.75 \ \textit{in}$  ;  $c_{b.\#5} := 1.8125 \ \textit{in}$ 

Smaller cf reir forcement edge distance and oneha.fcfspacing

$$\lambda_{\rm rc.\#4} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#4}}{c_{\rm b.\#4} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4 \quad ; \quad \lambda_{\rm rc.\#5} \coloneqq \max\left(\frac{d_{\rm b.\#5}}{c_{\rm b.\#5} + k_{\rm tr}}, 0.4\right) = 0.4$$

Reinforcement confinement factor ,  $0.4 \le \lambda rc \le 1.0$  (AASHTO 5.10.8.2.1c)





Development lengths needed (mod.fied tension development length, AASHTO Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-1)





 $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ 

$$\label{eq:lock_Reinforcement_Development_Length_Check} \begin{split} \text{Deck_Reinforcement_Development_Length_Check} &\coloneqq \text{if } l_{acc} > l_{d.\#4} \left\| l_{s.top} > l_{d.\#5} \right\| \\ & \left\| \text{``OK''} \right\| \\ & \text{else} \\ & \left\| \text{``Not OK''} \right\| \end{split}$$

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check = "OK"$ 

## (7) Analysis Results Overview:

 $Minimum\_Height\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Midspan\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Structural\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_at\_Ends\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Punching\_Shear\_Capacity\_of\_Barrier\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Moment\_Capacity\_of\_Deck\_Check="OK"$ 

 $Deck\_Reinforcement\_Development\_Length\_Check="OK"$ 



## (8) Summary of Barrier Design:

- 1. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 6" O.C. for 10'-0" at Barrier End Section
- 2. Use Vertical Barrier Stirrup #4 @ 12" O.C. out at Mid-Section (greater than 10'-0" from End Sections)
- 3. All other details as shown on Section and Elevation Views as provided herein for the 42" Barrier as analyzed herein are acceptable for MASH TL-4 Impact conditions.