LOUISIANA TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH CENTER TRANSPORTATION INNOVATION FOR RESEARCH EXPLORATION (TIRE) PROPOSAL REVIEW FORM

PROPOSAL NO.	
SUBMITTED BY:	
UNIVERSITY	
Please rate each proposal individually and provide your assessment of rating points for each category A-E. Total the rating points in item F.	POINT RATING
 A. OBJECTIVE AND APPROACH OF THE WORK (40 pts) 1. Does proposal present conceptual transportation problem and need for research? (10 max) 2. Does the proposed work address the criteria established for the TIRE program? (20 max) 3. Are the objectives and approach proposed clear and easy to understand (10 max) 	
 B. PROCEDURE (10 pts.) 1. Is the overall procedure or methodology (5 max) a. adequately described? b. appropriate to the study? 2. Are the experimental methods and/or data analysis techniques (5 max) a. adequately described? b. appropriate to the study? 	
 C. BUDGET AND TIME SCHEDULE (10 pts) 1. Is the budget reasonable for scope, personnel, and travel necessary to accomplish study requirements? (5 max) 2. Can the proposed work be accomplished in the one year time limitation of TIRE program? (5 max) 	
 D. PERSONNEL (30 pts) 1. What is your evaluation of the qualifications, experience and management ability of the principal investigator and support staff relative to their specific assignments? (10 max) 2. Is the apportionment of personnel level (time allocated) to accomplish specific tasks appropriate and realistic? (10 max) 3. Does the project provide an opportunity for a young professor to gain research experience? (10 max) (assistant -10, associate -7, full - NOT ELIGIBLE, emeritus - NOT ELIGIBLE) 	
 FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT (10 pts) 1. In your estimation, are available facilities and equipment listed adequate to accomplish study requirements? (5 max) 2. Is need for purchase of equipment listed adequately documented and justified? (5 max) 	
F. OVERALL POINT TOTAL	
G. IS PROPOSAL ACCEPTABLEYESNO H. COMMENTS Your comments below will be helpful to assist LTRC in making final decisions. Attach continuation sheets for additional comments, if necessary. (List improvements needed or deficiencies in proposal)	
INDIVIDUAL RATING PROPOSAL	